especially with upcoming events that would attract a potential terrorist such as the Democratic and Republican National Conventions, the November elections, and Independence Day celebrations.

Mr. Chairman, it is important that, should this legislation pass, the conferees address the fact that less than one-third of what the intelligence agencies have suggested is provided in the proposal. Therefore, I would fully support a motion to recommit for purpose of incorporating the critical addition of outlays to counterterrorism that are needed to secure our homeland.

Mr. Chairman, I urge my colleagues to support a motion to recommit.

Mr. PAUL. Mr. Chairman, I rise in opposition to this legislation. Though I certainly recognize the legitimate national security role of our intelligence community, I have concerns about this authorization and the questionable role played by components of the intelligence community.

Specifically, I am concerned about our history of secret regime changes carried out by our intelligence apparatus. More often than not, we see many of the problems we face today were created as a result of this unwise practice of forcibly changing regimes in secret.

The stories of such activities are numerous. In 1953 the CIA overthrew Mohammad Mossadegh in Iran, installing the Shah as dictator. This led to increasing anti-Americanism, the overthrow of the Shah in 1979, the kidnapping of Americans, the establishment of a hardline Islamic regime hostile to the United States. In the 1980s the United States provided covert support to Saddam Hussein's Iraq in its war with Iran. Ten years later the United States went to war against Saddam Hussein and then 11 years after that the United States went to war again against Saddam's Iraq. In the 1980s the United States provided weapons and training to the Taliban and what later became al-Qaeda in Afghanistan as they sought to overthrow the communist government in power. Some 20 years later, that same Taliban and Osama bin Laden struck out against the United States. The United States then went to war against that Taliban government.

I am also concerned about the efficacy of our intelligence community. The intelligence budget seems to grow every year, but seldom do my colleagues ask what exactly we are getting for our constituents' money. It may be unfair that we only hear about the intelligence community's failures and shortcomings, but we cannot help but be concerned over so many such failures in recent years. Despite the tens of billions we spend on these myriad intelligence agencies, it is impossible to ignore the failure of the intelligence community to detect and prevent the September 11, 2001 attacks.

Additionally, as we now see so clearly, our intelligence community failed completely to accurately assess the nature of the Iraqi threat. We were told of weapons of mass destruction capable of reaching the United States. This proved to be false. We were told of Iraq's relationship with al-Qaeda. This proved to be false. The intelligence community relied heavily—perhaps almost exclusively—on Iraqi exile and convicted criminal Ahmad Chalabi to provide intelligence on Iraq and most of it turned out to be incorrect, perhaps intentionally misleading. Now we are told that Chalabi and his organization may have passed sensitive intel-

ligence to Iran. We have read reports of secret pseudo-agencies set up in the Pentagon and elsewhere whose role appears to have been to politicize intelligence in order to force predetermined conclusions. This does not serve the American people well. These are all by any measure grave failures, costing us incalculably in human lives and dollars. Yet from what little we can know about this bill, the solution is to fund more of the same. I would hope that we might begin coming up with new approaches to our intelligence needs.

I encourage my colleagues to reject this bill and instead begin looking for new ways to strengthen the legitimate functions of our intelligence community so as to better protect the borders and citizens of the United States.

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

The CHAIRMAN. All time for general debate has expired.

Pursuant to the rule, the amendment in the nature of a substitute printed in the bill shall be considered as an original bill for the purpose of amendment and shall be considered read.

The text of the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute is as follows:

H.R. 4548

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled.

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

- (a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the "Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005".
- (b) Table of Contents.—The table of contents for this Act is as follows: Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.

TITLE I—INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

Sec. 101. Authorization of appropriations.

Sec. 102. Classified schedule of authorizations.

Sec. 103. Personnel ceiling adjustments.

Sec. 104. Intelligence Community Management Account.

TITLE II—CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGEN-CY RETIREMENT AND DISABILITY SYS-TEM

Sec. 201. Authorization of appropriations.

TITLE III—GENERAL PROVISIONS

- Sec. 301. Increase in employee compensation and benefits authorized by law.
- Sec. 302. Restriction on conduct of intelligence activities.
- Sec. 303. Assistant Director of Central Intelligence for Information Management.

Sec. 401. Permanent extension of Central Intelligence Agency voluntary separation incentive program.

TITLE V—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

Sec. 501. National Security Agency Emerging Technologies Panel.

TITLE VI—EDUCATION

 $Subtitle \ A-National \ Security \ Education \\ Program$

- Sec. 601. Provision for annual funding.
- Sec. 602. Modification of obligated service requirements under the National Security Education Program.
- Sec. 603. Improvements to the National Flagship Language Initiative.
- Sec. 604. Establishment of scholarship program for English language studies for heritage community citizens of the United States within the National Security Education Program.

Subtitle B—Improvement in Intelligence Community Foreign Language Skills

- Sec. 611. Assistant Director of Central Intelligence for Language and Education.
- Sec. 612. Requirement for foreign language proficiency for advancement to certain senior level positions in the intelligence community.
- Sec. 613. Advancement of foreign languages critical to the intelligence community.
- Sec. 614. Pilot project for Civilian Linguist Reserve Corps.
- Sec. 615. Codification of establishment of the National Virtual Translation Cen-
- Sec. 616. Report on recruitment and retention of qualified instructors of the Defense Language Institute.

TITLE I—INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

SEC. 101. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2005 for the conduct of the intelligence and intelligence-related activities of the following elements of the United States Government:

- (1) The Central Intelligence Agency.
- (2) The Department of Defense.
- (3) The Defense Intelligence Agency.
- (4) The National Security Agency.
- (5) The Department of the Army, the Department of the Navy, and the Department of the Air Force.
 - (6) The Department of State.
 - (7) The Department of the Treasury.
 - (8) The Department of Energy.
 - (9) The Department of Justice.
 - (10) The Federal Bureau of Investigation.
- (11) The National Reconnaissance Office.
- (12) The National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency.
 - (13) The Coast Guard.
 - (14) The Department of Homeland Security.

SEC. 102. CLASSIFIED SCHEDULE OF AUTHORIZA-TIONS.

- (a) SPECIFICATIONS OF AMOUNTS AND PERSONNEL CEILINGS.—The amounts authorized to be appropriated under section 101, and the authorized personnel ceilings as of September 30, 2005, for the conduct of the intelligence and intelligence-related activities of the elements listed in such section, are those specified in the classified Schedule of Authorizations prepared to accompany the bill H.R. 4548 of the One Hundred Eighth Congress.
- (b) AVAILABILITY OF CLASSIFIED SCHEDULE OF AUTHORIZATIONS.—The Schedule of Authorizations shall be made available to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and House of Representatives and to the President. The President shall provide for suitable distribution of the Schedule, or of appropriate portions of the Schedule, within the executive branch.

$SEC.\ 103.\ PERSONNEL\ CEILING\ ADJUSTMENTS.$

- (a) AUTHORITY FOR ADJUSTMENTS.—With the approval of the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, the Director of Central Intelligence may authorize employment of civilian personnel in excess of the number authorized for fiscal year 2005 under section 102 when the Director of Central Intelligence determines that such action is necessary to the performance of important intelligence functions.
- (b) NOTICE TO INTELLIGENCE COMMITTEES.— The Director of Central Intelligence shall notify promptly the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate whenever the Director exercises the authority granted by this section.

SEC. 104. INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT.

(a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.— There is authorized to be appropriated for the Intelligence Community Management Account