

this problem will also join with us today to vote against this bill so that once and for all we can do what is right for our teachers and for our public employees.

Mr. MATSUI. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. SHAW. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Mr. Speaker, in looking at what is right, it is right to protect beneficiaries from representative payees who would misuse these benefits. We all agree on that, whether you are from Texas, Georgia, California, or New York. It is right to deny Social Security benefits to fugitive felons and probation parole violators. We can all agree on that. It is right for this Congress to pass a bill that deters waste, fraud, and abuse. That is in this bill, and that is the right thing to do. It helps individuals with disabilities gain access to representation, and it encourages disabled beneficiaries to return to work. That is the right thing to do.

Now we get to the hard question: Is it right to close a loophole that enables some teachers in Georgia and Texas to contribute just a few dollars to Social Security to receive nearly \$100,000 in additional lifetime spousal benefits? I strongly believe this loophole should be closed.

Let me give an example which I think would be very helpful to the Members in deciding how they are going to vote on this issue. Any worker, corporate, executive, otherwise, or school teacher who pays into both Social Security and a retirement plan will receive both benefits based upon their work. However, no worker will receive a full spouse or widower benefit; those benefits are reduced or eliminated dollar for dollar by the earned Social Security benefit. Public employees who contribute to a public employee pension plan instead of Social Security actually face a lower, a lower offset under this bill of their spouse or widow benefits than workers who paid into Social Security their whole career. And that is only \$2 for every \$3. So these people who did not pay into Social Security are getting a better deal than people who paid into Social Security their whole working lives.

Also, this bill has bipartisan support and the support of key stakeholders, and it does save us money. This same identical bill was passed, almost identical bill, was passed by the House by a vote of 396 to 28. It passed. And then it passed by unanimous consent in the Senate with some minor changes, which is the reason we are back here today.

If we were to look at the arguments that have been made today as to what is fair and what is not fair and apply those same arguments as to spousal benefits, surviving spouse benefits to people who have paid into Social Security all their working life, it would cost the Social Security Administration \$1 trillion and would bankrupt the system. This is what we are facing:

basic fairness. I say, apply the law as this bill outlines it. It is fair. It is the right thing to do. I urge passage of the bill.

Mr. STARK. Mr. Speaker, today I rise in support of the Social Security Program Protection Act.

This legislation makes a strong Social Security program even stronger for the millions of Americans who rely on its benefits for stability through old age, disability or loss of a loved one. And this bill will help to protect the promise of economic security for future generations—a promise we must keep.

I strongly support the protections this legislation provides for some of the most vulnerable recipients of Social Security. Today, many beneficiaries are unable to manage their own benefits so a representative payee is often appointed to do so on their behalf. While this is undoubtedly necessary, too many seniors and people with disabilities have fallen victim to fraud and abuse.

This bill makes dramatic improvements to the representative payee system to help protect beneficiaries. It does so by initiating strict oversight of representative payees and expanding the ability of the Social Security Administration to repay benefits that have been misused or stolen. For many, this puts real financial security back in Social Security.

Despite the strengths of this bill, I am disappointed, however, that Republicans refused to accept an amendment I offered to this bill in the Ways and Means Committee to reduce the Government Pension Offset penalty. This penalty unfairly reduces or even eliminates Social Security benefits for millions of teachers, firefighters, police officers and others who serve the public.

I urge my colleagues to vote for the Social Security Program Protection Act to extend the promise of retirement security for every American, today and tomorrow.

Mr. PAUL. Mr. Speaker, I intend to vote for H.R. 743, the Social Security Protection Act, because it contains an important provision that was not included in previous versions of this bill. This provision takes a first step toward ensuring that non-citizens who are unauthorized to work in the United States do not receive Social Security benefits. Giving Social Security benefits to illegal immigrants is a slap in the faces of Americans who pay their entire working lives into the Social Security system and now face the possibility that there will be nothing left when it is their turn to retire. This is why, at the beginning of the 108th Congress, I introduced legislation, the Social Security for American Citizens Only Act (H.R. 489), which ensures no non-citizen can receive Social Security benefits. Therefore, I am pleased to see Congress beginning at last to address this issue.

However, I wish to make clear my continued opposition to a provision in the bill that removes the only means by which many widowed Texas public school teachers can receive the same personal Social Security benefits, as does every other American. As I am sure my colleagues are aware, widowed public school employees in Texas, like public employees throughout the nation, have their spousal Social Security benefits reduced if they receive a government pension. The Government Pension Offset even applies if the public employee in question worked all the quarters necessary to qualify for full Social Se-

curity benefits either before or after working in the public school system.

The Government Pension Offset punishes people for teaching in public schools. However, current law provides widowed Texas public school teachers a means of collecting a full Social Security spousal benefits. Unfortunately, this bill takes that option away from Texas teachers. I have twice voted against H.R. 743 because of my strong opposition to the provision removing the only way Texas teachers can avoid the Government Pension Offset.

Instead of repealing the only means Texas teachers have of avoiding the Government Pension Offset, Congress should pass H.R. 594, the Social Security Fairness Act that repeals both the Government Pension Offset and the Windfall Elimination Provision, another provision that denies public employees full Social Security benefits.

Congress should also be encouraging good people to enter the education profession by passing my Teacher Tax Cut Act (H.R. 613) that provides every teacher with a \$1,000 tax credit, as well as my Professional Educators Tax Credit Act (H.R. 614), which provides a \$1,000 tax credit to counselors, librarians, and all school personnel.

In conclusion, Mr. Speaker, I will support H.R. 743 because it restricts the ability of illegal immigrants to raid the Social Security Trust Fund. However, I remain opposed to the provision that punishes teachers by denying them Social Security benefits for which they would be eligible if they were not teachers. Instead of punishing teachers, Congress should be enacting pro-teacher legislation, such as the Social Security Fairness Act and the Teacher Tax Cut Act.

Mr. HOLT. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of H.R. 743, the Social Security Protection Act. This bill will protect the integrity of the Social Security program for the nearly eight million Social Security and Supplemental Security Income (SSI) beneficiaries who are unable to manage their own financial affairs and must have a "representative payee" designated to receive and manage their benefits on their behalf.

I would, however, like to take this opportunity to discuss an important Social Security issue that this bill fails to address, the Government Pension Offset (GPO). This unjust, arcane law prevents government retirees from collecting a government pension and the Social Security benefits entitled to them through their spouse's history of employment.

The GPO current affects 335,000 people, a number that is growing by 15,000 each year. The people hit hardest by the GPO are State and municipal workers. Public employees like educators, police officers, and firefighters should not suffer a penalty for dedicating their lives to public service.

Take, for example, a teacher who has worked for 30 years and with her husband has managed to raise a family. After her husband passes away, the law prevents her from receiving most, if not all, of the Social Security benefits that her husband earned and rightfully belong to her. She would lose the benefits simply because she worked for the government making a modest salary.

Mr. Speaker, Congressman BUCK MCKEON has introduced H.R. 594, which would address the Government Pension Offset issue. Even though the bill currently has 285 cosponsors,