CONFERENCE REPORT ON H.R. 2346, SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIA-TIONS ACT, 2009

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to House Resolution 545, I call up the conference report on the bill (H.R. 2346) making supplemental appropriations for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2009, and for other purposes, and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 545, the conference report is considered read.

(For conference report and statement, see proceedings of the House of June 12, 2009, at page H6683.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY) and the gentleman from California (Mr. Lewis) each will control 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Wisconsin.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself 1 minute.

Mr. Speaker, this is the last of last year's business. We have a huge amount of work that we have to do before the August recess. We have to pass all 12 appropriation bills, we have to make time on the schedule for health care reform, for the military authorization bill, and for historic climate change legislation. I just think we ought to get on with it.

I think everybody understands what is in this bill, and the sooner we can get on with it, the sooner we can get on with this year's business.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LEWIS of California. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I am very pleased that we at least for now appear to be returning to regular order on appropriations bills as we deal with this emergency supplemental that is before us. However, I must confess to being disappointed at the turn this final product has taken in recent days as compared to where we began with our original House-passed bill. The majority has chosen to go to the high-dollar level for every account in this conference report, except as it relates to the primary purpose of the legislation, the critical troop funding in the Department of Defense and Military Construction accounts.

My understanding of the final conference agreement is that it cuts the House level for DOD and MilCon by \$4.6 billion. More disconcerting is that the final package includes \$5 billion for IMF funding that was not a part of the original House package. This \$5 billion for foreign aid will secure a whopping \$108 billion in loans.

Mr. Speaker, I must say, this is such an important message and important piece of legislation, I think it is important that our constituents, as well as our colleagues, pay careful attention to this debate.

In essence, in this package the IMF is funded at a level almost \$30 billion more than what is provided for our troops, which supposedly was what this bill was all about. What began as a troop funding bill has become a means of fulfilling the President's promise to provide more IMF funding, or foreign aid, for international bailouts.

If that isn't bad enough, the conference agreement also includes \$1 billion in emergency spending for the Cash for Clunkers program that was not a part of either the House or the Senate package, nor was it requested by the President.

I understand the conferees have dropped the Graham-Lieberman-McCain language relating to the release of detainee photos. The conferees have also significantly watered down language relating to the release or transfer of detainees at Guantanamo Bay. This is an issue that is and will continue to be of great concern to many of us, as well as the American people.

Just last week, the President approved having a Guantanamo detainee transferred to New York City and ordered the release and transfer of four Uyghers to Bermuda. The President appears to be racing to move these detainees to their new homes before Congress can act substantively on the issue of closing Guantanamo.

During last week's conference meeting, Mr. Young, Ms. GRANGER and I offered several amendments. The first. offered by Ms. GRANGER, sought approval of the Senate provision prohibiting the release of detainee photos. The second motion, offered by Mr. Young, insisted upon the higher House funding level for DOD and MilCon spending in this conference agreement. And the third motion, which I offered, insisted upon agreeing to section 202(a) and section 315 of the Senate bill prohibiting the transfer or release of Guantanamo detainees. All three amendments were defeated in a partyline vote by the House conferees.

As I prepare to close, let me make one additional point. Much has been made about the total cost of this emergency supplemental. I note for the record that the final conference agreement is \$106 billion, which is \$14 billion more than the President's request, \$9 billion more than the House-passed bill, and \$15 billion more than the Senate-passed level.

Again, we have increased funding for everything in this bill except for the troops. Arguments about maintaining some level of fiscal responsibility certainly ring hollow when we lard up a troop funding bill with taxpayer dollars to support foreign aid for hostile governments and cash for cars past their prime. This is a troubling pattern that is being repeated in many of our funding bills this year.

In closing, Mr. Speaker, it is a shame that a process that began in a spirit of bipartisanship has concluded in such a partisan manner. We began as a united, bipartisan House seeking to support our troops, but have ended this process by appeasing the very Members who

opposed this emergency funding in the first place.

I strongly support our troops, but cannot and will not support an international bailout for hostile regimes disguised as a troop funding bill.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include tabular and extraneous material on the conference report to accompany H.R. 2346.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Wisconsin?

There was no objection.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself 30 seconds.

I would simply note in light of the gentleman's comments on the IMF that in 1999, the last time we voted on it, the IMF funding was attached to the Transportation bill and 162 Republicans voted for it. They didn't seem to have any problem at that time. I find it interesting that today, with a different President, they do.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LEWIS of California. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. CONAWAY).

Mr. CONAWAY. I thank the ranking member.

The distinguished chairman of the committee said we know what is in this bill. That may or may not be accurate, but we certainly know what is not in this bill. The conferees ignored the specific instructions of a bipartisan vote to include instructions to protect the detainee photos of alleged abuses that went on in our custody.

Mr. Speaker, the protection of these photos, to prevent their release, requires a legislative fix, in my view. I don't believe the President has full authority to stand against the judicial branch, so we need to protect these photos from release.

Even the court has recognized the validity of the claims of harm that would come from release of these photos, whether it is recruitment of additional jihadists or inflaming the current jihadists into doing things they might not otherwise have done, but also perhaps squelch the growing protests in Iran if we were to release the photos showing this abuse. Think back to the cartoon that was released in the Danish paper that insulted Mohammad and the overreaction to that cartoon. Think what the release of these photos would do to our relationships.

The military leadership, Generals Odierno and Petraeus, both oppose the release of these photos. They have persuaded Secretary Gates and President Obama to change their original position, and they too now oppose the release of these photos.

The release of these photos will serve no good purpose. They will get young Americans hurt that don't need to get hurt. I am disappointed that the conferees did not include the instructions that we specifically gave them to protect these photos from disclosure.

I urge my colleagues to oppose this supplemental.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I reserve my time.

Mr. LEWIS of California. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. CANTOR), the finest whip Virginia has ever produced.

Mr. CANTOR. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from California.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to this bill. America has the best military in the world. I think all of us who have traveled and who have seen the commitment of our Armed Forces are overwhelmed by their patriotism. The success of our military has much to do with the character and the courage of the men and women in uniform who fight every single day for our freedom.

□ 1715

And what we can do, as Members of this Congress, to speak to that courage and that commitment on the part of those men and women is to stand up and to remove politics from bills affecting their ability to execute on their mission to protect us.

Mr. Speaker, we can pass a bipartisan bill. This House has shown several weeks ago, we passed a bill with a 368-60 vote, clearly, a bipartisan bill sending the message that this Congress stood for our troops and nothing got in the way.

Unfortunately, Mr. Speaker, we now find a conference report that will make its way to this House that is vastly different from the bill approved in a bipartisan way.

Number one, Mr. Speaker, the provisions in the conference report that will make its way to this floor seem to put the rights of terrorists before the security of Americans. When we see that this body somehow wants to remove language prohibiting the transfer of detainees at Guantanamo Bay to U.S. soil, when this House allows for that transfer, what that says is we are willing to take on untold risk at the expense of the security of the people that our troops are trying to protect.

Next, Mr. Speaker, we have seen the conferees take out language that would prohibit the release of photographs that we know will endanger the lives of our troops. Our commander on the ground in Iraq, General Odierno, was very clear in his admonition several weeks ago when he said our troops will be put in greater harm's way, and specific units will have enhanced danger immediately, if these photographs are allowed to be released.

And I know that the majority says that we've got protections, that the White House will stand up and not allow for their release. But at the end of the day, we have the ability to stop it and to act now.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time of the gentleman has expired.

Mr. LEWIS of California. I yield the gentleman another 1 minute.

Mr. CANTOR. And I ask that this House stand up, act now, and make sure that these photos don't make their ways to brochures for recruitment of al Qaeda or make their way on to Internet sites to help attract more terrorists in the fight against our troops.

And lastly, Mr. Speaker, to burden our troops with \$108 billion of a loan guarantee to a global bailout is not putting our troops first. That's putting politics before our troops, and that's unacceptable to the American people.

Mr. LEWIS of California. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. Frelinghuysen) a member of the Defense Subcommittee of Appropriations.

(Mr. FRELINGHUYSEN asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. FRELINGHUYSEN. Mr. Speaker, if the defense portion of the supplemental were considered as a standalone legislation it would receive my support and the majority of those on this side of the aisle. We recognize that we need to provide our deployed men and women, all volunteers, with the funding and resources they need to accomplish their important ongoing missions in Iraq and Afghanistan. But unfortunately, the majority has added items to this measure totally unrelated to these wars.

First, the much-debated IMF provision, which interestingly enough, allows our country drawing rights for the first time in the history of the IMF.

Secondly, the majority stripped language from this bill that would have prevented release of photographs of detainees, thus endangering U.S. citizens and members of our own Armed Forces overseas.

Thirdly, the majority inserted watered-down language on the closing of Guantanamo Bay, allowing for these dangerous prisoners to be brought to the U.S. for trial 45 days after the Obama administration submits certain paperwork to Congress. These all represent reasons to vote "no," to send this legislation back to the drawing board, and to come back with a straightforward bill that supports our troops.

But I want to use this time to talk about the direction of our national security funding, our defense spending. If you believe the administration, this will be the last supplemental appropriations bill to fund our operations in Afghanistan and Iraq, even though our forces will remain in Iraq for a significant number of years and our efforts in Afghanistan are just now ramping up.

The administration says it's putting such costs for future soldier needs into the base appropriations bill. However, it doesn't take a green eyeshade to determine that the administration's request, combined with the rate of infla-

tion, essentially adds up to no growth. We're standing still. We're treading water.

And in a world where the North Koreans threaten conventional nuclear war, Russia is becoming more resurgent and aggressive, and China is rapidly increasing its aggressiveness.

For these reasons, I rise to oppose this conference report.

Mr. LEWIS of California. Mr. Speaker, could I please check and see how much time there is on each side?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from California has $17\frac{1}{2}$ minutes remaining. And the gentleman from Wisconsin has 29 minutes remaining.

Mr. LEWIS of California. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to Tom Cole, one of the fabulous new members on our side of the Appropriations Committee.

Mr. COLE. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in opposition to this conference report. and I do that with a heavy heart, quite frankly. When this measure was initially before us I supported it enthusiastically. And in my remarks I praised the President. I thought he'd made a tough decision and deserved bipartisan support. I praised the majority because they had brought us, I think, a very good and finely crafted bill. And frankly, I was proud of the minority because we stepped up unconditionally, supported the President, provided the votes that he needed to win and make a difference to have not just a bipartisan majority, but Republican votes that put us in the majority.

I felt like we dealt with the President and the administration in good faith. And frankly, I don't think, since that point, that faith has been reciprocated. Over the course of the process as this legislation's moved through, IMF funding has been added. It scores at \$5 billion but it's a considerably greater amount of money that will be deployed.

We've had this issue with the photos. We've had the issue of detainees. And frankly, throughout that, there's been no effort to negotiate with our side of the aisle, which did provide the funding again, the votes needed to pass the original bill, you know, without condition. And frankly, it's almost as if there was assumption on the other side that we would either roll over or be blackmailed or be bullied into supporting the bill simply because of the military funding in it.

And I wonder whether or not, in retrospect, it was worth losing literally dozens and dozens of Republicans that were prepared to support this bill in a bipartisan fashion in order to add these other measures which could have, frankly, been brought to the floor on their own

So I'm forced to urge the rejection of this conference report. I would hope that we could restore the military funding that was taken out. I would hope that we could strip the unrelated IMF funding, and I would hope that we could practice once again the bipartisanship that led us to such an overwhelming success in the original bill. And if we go back to that method, I think that the President and the administration will be able to rely on continued bipartisan support in the tough decisions they have to make going forward.

Mr. OBEY. I yield 1 minute to the distinguished majority leader.

Mr. HOYER. I rise in strong support of this legislation. Eighty percent or more of this bill is to support the young men and women, and some not so young, whom we have sent in harm's way in Iraq and Afghanistan to confront terrorism. We passed that bill. We passed it with 368 votes.

It then went to the Senate, and the Senate amended the bill and added additional funding for the IMF, the International Monetary Fund. That did not squeak by on some partisan vote. In fact, more than two-thirds of the Senators voting on that issue, including approximately 25 percent of the Republicans voting on that issue, voted to include the IMF. Why? Because, like Ronald Reagan, President George Bush in the 1990s, President George Bush in 2008, because they believed that the IMF itself was an important asset in the seeking of security by the United States of America.

Now, we didn't put it in that bill. But it's supported by two-thirds of the United States Senate, supported by the President of the United States, who, when he met with the G-20, pledged to play our part in trying to bring the security that this country has paid so dearly to achieve. Our share is approximately 20 percent. The other members of the G-20, 19 nations, will be putting up 80 percent. Why? Because they too believe this is enhancing the security of their countries and also what they think it does to lift up the poorest nations of the world. Not Iran, who hasn't gotten any money since 1984 when Ronald Reagan was President, the last time Iran got money from the IMF. Not Hezbollah, no discussions with them. The United States would clearly weigh in to stop such funding; properly

So we have a bill that seeks security and peace, and it's two-pronged now, not one. And I suggest to you that it is my belief that if it were a Republican President asking for this that this bill would pass with some 368 votes again. Why? Because Democrats would join in the Republican President's request, as we have in the past, and say this is for America's security, for international security, and we'll support it.

Now, this bill does some other things. We have a pandemic flu, swine flu, H1N1 flu, that is now at a level that the World Health Organization says is 41 years historically in the context. And the administration has said, because of that, we need additional funds for new vaccines

We didn't have that in our bill. Clearly, there's not a Member in this House

that doesn't want to take care of the health of our people. I might say, although he doesn't carry a lot of weight on your side of the aisle, that Jim Leach has strongly endorsed this effort; for the same reason, Ronald Reagan, who we honored just the other day. Just the other day we heard so many people say what a great leader Ronald Reagan was.

I'm going to quote Ronald Reagan for you, if I can find it real quickly. Ronald Reagan said this: The IMF is the linchpin of the international financial system. That's Ronald Reagan. He went on to say, I have an unbreakable commitment to increased funding for IMF. That's not a Democrat. That is a conservative leader that you revere, who led this country, and was strongly supported by this country.

And I want you to know that I supported Ronald Reagan on most of his security initiatives, as my good friend JERRY LEWIS knows, because I believed that we needed to make America stronger and to tell our Soviet adversaries that we were prepared to invest in the security of our country.

□ 1730

I think, in doing so, they ultimately decided that they couldn't compete, and glasnost and perestroika came about.

The first President Bush said this: "The IMF and the World Bank are at the crossroads of our cooperative efforts."

Remember the responsibility of Speaker Gingrich when he said in 1998: "We have an obligation to work with the International Monetary Fund."

This is not a partisan issue, but I suggest to you it has been made a partisan reason to oppose this bill and to try to embarrass Democrats, very frankly, that we can't pass funding. We can and we will. I urge you to join us. I urge you to forget the partisan rhetoric. I urge you to think of Ronald Reagan, of George Bush, of the second George Bush, of Newt Gingrich, and of so many other Republican leaders who I won't take the time to quote, who have said that this is a critical component of our security apparatus.

We did not have it in our bill, but we all know how the legislative process works. The other body, particularly when it does so by a two-thirds vote, adds legislation. The President of the United States believes that's good legislation, and very frankly, I believe it's good legislation, and many in this House do as well. Would we have added it? We didn't, but it's here.

Do not use this addition by the United States Senate as a reason to say, "I can't vote," for 80 percent of this bill supports those young men and women and, as I said, some not so young who are deployed abroad in the defense of freedom and in the furtherance of our security.

I will tell you, my friends, on numerous occasions, as most of you know who have served with me, I have put

my card in the slot or have come to this well or have raised my voice on behalf of Republican Presidents who sought to further the security of this country. I am proud of those votes. I am proud of that voice. I ask you to join me today to support our troops, to support our national security, to support propping up countries that will be the repositories of economies that will further the ability of terrorists to recruit in countries that find themselves without jobs, without economic opportunity for their young people and that will have them turn and be recruited by those who would undermine their lives and would recruit them as terror-

So I urge each one of my colleagues: This is a vote for America, for its interests and for its troops. Do not delude yourselves that this is not a vote to support the troops. Eighty percent plus of this bill is about American servicemen and women in harm's way. Stand up for them.

Mr. LEWIS of California. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to my colleague, the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. Burton).

Mr. BURTON of Indiana. Mr. Speaker, I have great respect for the majority leader, and he is very eloquent at the microphone, but he forgot to mention a couple of things that, I think, the American people would like to know.

For instance, 80 percent of this bill is helping the troops, but this is a war supplemental; 100 percent should be helping our troops. In addition to that, he did not mention that this is a 22 percent reduction from what was in the supplemental last year, so we're actually cutting funding to the troops by 22 percent over what we did last year, and we're just expanding our operation into Afghanistan, So I think that the people ought to really get the whole picture. The whole picture is that this is a war supplemental, and it's being cut over what we spent last year for the same type of legislation.

Now, he mentioned the International Monetary Fund, the \$5 billion for that. This is a war supplemental. This is not an IMF bill. It's going to create \$108 billion in additional loaning capability by the IMF. A few of the countries that will benefit from this with Special Drawing Rights are people who are not our friends—like Venezuela, Mr. Chavez down there; like Iran, a terrorist state; Yemen; Syria; Zimbabwe; and Burma.

So I would just like to say—and I would never admonish the majority leader, because he is a great man, and I really like him—let's get all of the facts out there and not just part of them.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I continue to reserve the balance of our time.

Mr. LEWIS of California. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. Pence).

(Mr. PENCE asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. PENCE. I thank the distinguished ranking member of the Appropriations Committee for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in reluctant opposition to the military supplemental bill that is before Congress today. I was pleased to join many of my Democratic colleagues in supporting the bipartisan military supplemental bill that passed this House earlier in this Congress.

It seems to me, when it comes to providing our soldiers with the resources they need to get the job done and to come home safe, it's the right time to set aside politics as usual—the partisan divide—and go forward to the best of our abilities in a united front. We did that, but I cannot support this military supplemental bill today. I see it as a disservice to the taxpayers of this country and as a disservice to those brave men and women who defend us every day.

You know, in the midst of difficult economic times, it's easy for some people to forget that we are a Nation at war, and it's easy to go back to politics as usual and to spending as usual; but with American soldiers in harm's way, we must never falter in our effort to make sure those soldiers have everything they need to get the job done and to come home to us and to their families safely.

Emergency war funding bills should be about emergency war funding. This legislation, which includes \$108 billion in loan authorizations for a global bailout for the International Monetary Fund at a time when this government has run up a \$2 trillion annual deficit I believe does a disservice to taxpayers and to those who defend us. Passing a \$108 billion global bailout on the backs of our soldiers is just not right.

I urge my colleagues to oppose this conference report. Stand with our troops. Stand with the American taxpayer. Stand against one more bailout. Let's reject this bill tonight, and let's come right back to this floor here to morrow and bring a clean emergency war funding bill, in a bipartisan fashion, back into the legislative process.

It is time for us to reject this legislation, to reject the changes that were made in the United States Senate, to get our soldiers the resources they need, and to do it in a way that serves the broadest possible interests of the American taxpayer.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I continue to reserve the balance of our time.

Mr. LEWIS of California. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. MCCOTTER), a member of our leadership.

Mr. McCOTTER. I thank the gentleman.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to the conference report precisely because it is about our troops. The bill that left this Chamber with broad bipartisan support was 100 percent about our troops, and it is precisely now why it is only 80 percent about our troops. We should not allow for that 20 percent reduction to delude us that somehow this is a better bill.

I had the opportunity today to read in the detroitfreepress.com that the Treasury Department had said that \$10 billion in loan guaranties to auto manufacturing suppliers was a nonstarter. They didn't have it. I come here tonight. I hear that we have \$108 billion for the IMF.

This is not only about our troops. It is about the hardworking men and women who put money into the Federal Government not only to defend our troops but to defend their own way of life and their own prosperity and to make sure that it's here when they get back.

Of the \$108 billion going off to the IMF, I did not hear of anyone at the IMF losing their jobs in a painful restructuring. I did not hear of anyone at the IMF being asked to take reductions in their lifetimes of hard-earned health care benefits. I did not see anyone lose anything from the IMF for the \$108 billion underwriting by the U.S. taxpayers; but for \$85 billion, I did see back home in Detroit people losing their jobs under a painful restructuring. I saw retirees losing health care benefits. I saw dealerships closing. I was told this was necessary. I was told by this administration that we've got to be careful not to put money into a sinkhole. Well, this is also about equity.

When those troops come home, when they come home to the Midwest, when they come home to my Michigan, I will look them in the eye and say, "As long as I have been here, I have defended and supported our troops, but I have also made sure that, when you came home, you came back to the American opportunities that you left behind to defend us."

As for the future that the majority leader has talked about, I don't have to speculate. Let me read you a statement:

If people tell you that we cannot afford to invest in education or in health care or in fighting poverty, you just remind them that we are spending \$10 billion a month in Iraq.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time of the gentleman has expired.

Mr. LEWIS of California. Mr. Speaker, I am proud to yield the gentleman 1 additional minute.

Mr. McCOTTER. If we can spend that much money in Iraq, we can spend some of that money right here in Cincinnati, Ohio, and in big cities and in small towns in every corner of this country.

That was candidate Barack Obama.

I would never take money from funds appropriated for our troops and use it for domestic spending. I have said that before; but if you're going to add \$108 billion to fund a conference report for our troops, then spend it here in the United States. Spend it on the men and women who support our troops every day. Spend it on their families so they stay employed. Do not send it to the IMF. I oppose this bill.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I continue to reserve the balance of our time.

Mr. LEWIS of California. Mr. Speaker, I am proud to yield 2 minutes to my colleague, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. GOHMERT).

Mr. GOHMERT. Mr. Speaker, it was a proud moment for us to be able to come together in a bipartisan fashion here in the House, as put together in the House, and support the supplemental for the troops; but to add this mess that's coming down here from the conference that the Senate stuck in—over \$100 billion for the IMF? I mean they're loaning money that they get from us and that we're going to have to borrow from China in order to give it to countries that hate us and that would love to see us go away. That makes no sense.

If we are going to add this additional burden onto the American taxpayer, which is going to work counter to the troops who are out there, who are putting their lives at risk, why not just bring them home and not pay our enemies all that extra money and just call it a wash? If we're going to give money that we're going to have to borrow from the Chinese, let's just call it a wash and bring our troops home instead of funding our enemies. That's ridiculous. We should not go there. Let's stop this, and let's get back to the good bill we had in the House before.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I continue to reserve the balance of our time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from California has 8 minutes remaining.

Mr. LEWIS of California. Mr. Speaker, I must mention I have only got one speaker remaining, so I would like to inquire of my colleague from Wisconsin just the status of his circumstances: You would be the person to close?

I will have to reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I understand that we have the right to close, and we have only one remaining speaker.

I continue to reserve the balance of our time.

Mr. LEWIS of California. Mr. Speaker, as I indicated, I have one additional speaker.

As long as you're giving me all of this flexibility, just let me mention that, as we began this process on this bill, both my chairman and I were very pleased by the fact that there was broadly based bipartisan support for giving the kind of assistance to our troops that is fundamental to our success in the Middle East. To have that package now come back from conference in the shape of being a bill that has reduced the President's request for troop funding by approximately \$4.7 billion and, in turn, has a cost factor of some \$5 billion for the IMF is most disconcerting to this Member.

I may have two additional speakers since my colleague here is standing.

□ 1745

Mr. NUNES. If the gentleman will yield.

Mr. LEWIS of California. I would be happy to yield.

Mr. NUNES. I have a question for the gentleman because I know he has spent a lot of time on approps. I know you're from California, and we've talked a lot about the water issue in California.

Because this bill is going to go to the President and become law, this is one of our last opportunities to actually make law and get pumping levels back up to historic levels so we can provide water not only to San Joaquin Valley, but also to Los Angeles and San Diego. Do you think there's any possibility we could amend this bill and get something changed here so it will go to Obama's desk?

Mr. LEWIS of California. The gentleman is asking a very, very important question, and I will try to be straightforward in my response. This is a conference report in which both the House and the Senate have come together. The gentleman has raised his concerns about water in central California at a level that has gotten almost the entire country's attention. Indeed, if there were any way I could amend this package to help you solve this problem, the desperate need to get those pumps going to get water to our crops and the farmlands in Central Valley, I would do so. But, unfortunately, in this case, I am unable to help, but stand ready to try.

Mr. NUNES. Well, I would hope the gentleman would yield again.

Mr. LEWIS of California. Sure.

Mr. NUNES. As we go through the approps process, I know you will be helpful in trying to get the point across that we have 40,000 people right now without jobs in the San Joaquin Valley, long food lines, 20 percent unemployment. These are very serious issues, and I would hope that your committee will be helpful.

Mr. LEWIS of California. As we go through with our hearings, I might mention in just a few days ahead we will be discussing agriculture problems and challenges to funding for programs for the 2010 year. Indeed, one way or another, we are going to do everything we can to help the gentleman. So I very much appreciate his inquiry.

Mr. NUNES. Thank you.

Mr. LEWIS of California. Mr. Speaker, I do have one additional speaker, and I am very proud to yield 1 minute to the Republican leader, Mr. BOEHNER.

Mr. BOEHNER. Mr. Speaker and my colleagues, I said earlier this year that when the President does what we think is the right thing for the American people, that he will have no stronger allies than House Republicans. We believe that the President has a responsible strategy in Iraq and in Afghanistan, and we have in fact supported him.

When this troop funding bill left the House, it left with a broad bipartisan majority. And as this bill is now considered, after a conference with the Senate, there are a couple of very troubling parts of this bill.

First and foremost, the addition of a \$108 billion line of credit for the Inter-

national Monetary Fund I think is unnecessary in this bill. And it's unnecessary because to ask our troops to carry money for a global bailout, frankly, I think is unfair. There is only about \$80 billion in this bill for our troops, and here we're asking them to spend nearly \$30 billion more to carry this global bailout.

Now, I've got to tell you, we may have enough money in the United States to solve our economic problems, but I'll guarantee you we don't have enough money to solve the world's economic problems. And when you think about the fact that we don't have \$108 billion to loan to the IMF, so what's going to happen here? The United States is going to go to China, we're going to borrow \$108 billion, we're going to give it to the IMF, and they're going to give it to countries, most of whom don't like us very much.

Now, I would suspect that most of my constituents would say, This is a bad deal, and, BOEHNER, we expect you to vote "no." And trust me, I am going to vote "no." But the fact is, it doesn't belong in this bill. That issue should be debated on its own and should be voted up or down on its own.

The second issue is that the Senate included language in their bill that would have protected these photos of detainees from being released. General Petraeus, General Odierno, and others, have made it clear that the release of these photos will endanger our troops. I believe it will also cripple the ability of our intelligence officials to do their job. And yet while it was supported in this House last week with another broad bipartisan vote, the language isn't in the bill; it's been taken out at the demands of the fringe left. And so I would suggest to my colleagues that this is not a bill that I can support.

I'm going to do everything I can to help our troops. They're doing a marvelous job on our behalf in helping to keep Americans safe. But to load this bill up with this kind of political gamesmanship is not what the American people expect of their Congress.

So I would ask my colleagues to stand up and say "no" to this bill. Let's bring back the broad bipartisan majority that passed the first bill and take care of our troops the right way. This is not the answer, though.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gen-

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from California has 4 minutes remaining; the gentleman from Wisconsin has 28 minutes remaining.

Mr. LEWIS of California. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time. Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of the time.

Mr. Speaker, as I noted at the beginning of the debate, this item represents last year's left-over business. It is the last item of last year's left-over business.

We have been mired in a war for over 7 years. The President previous to this one has continually minimized the cost of that war by financing it on the installment plan. Instead of providing a

full estimate of a yearly cost for the war, he would ask to fund that war in 6-month increments. And when he left office, there was still one 6-month increment left to go that was not yet paid for left over from his watch. And so this bill today, in the process of supporting the President's policies of trying to wind down that war, is providing the remaining funding for this fiscal year to help accomplish that.

In addition, this new President is trying to change the way that that war has been breaking in Afghanistan, and by necessity, Pakistan, which is integrally tied to the Afghanistan situation. And what he is trying to do is, through a combination of military action, political action, and diplomacy, he is trying to change the mix and gradually extricate ourselves from that conflict and stabilize that region politically in the process. I doubt that that will succeed. But this President, having inherited a God awful mess both at home and abroad, has a right to try to fix this situation. That's what the American people, in part, elected him to do. And so this bill provides the financing to do that.

And, yes, it added some other items that were not in the bill when it left the House. It did add funding for the IMF, about which our friends on the other side of the aisle roundly complain. But I would point out, in 1999, the last time I believe that we voted on this, the majority party then, our friends on the other side of the aisle, added IMF funding to the Transportation bill and 162 Republicans voted for it. I find it interesting that today, with a new President, they decline to provide that support.

We also added something else. The GI bill education proposal that the Congress passed last year, had one remaining gap which needed to be filled. That legislation said that if you served your country in the military a sufficient length of time, you could then obtain education benefits; and if you did not use them yourself, you could convert them to the use of your spouse or your children. This bill closes a gap because the one thing that that bill did not do last year was to enable a combat veteran who was killed in combat to make that same transfer of education benefits to a spouse or children. This bill provides that expanded benefit for our fighting men and women. It was not in the bill when it left the House. It is now. If you vote against this bill, that's one of the provisions you will be voting against.

We also have additional money for military hospitals that the administration did not request. We have additional help for the auto industry. I didn't think that was a Federal offense to try to provide some assistance to that industry. And, yes, we have a significant amount of additional funding for pandemic flu. Now, we tried to put that money initially in the original economic recovery package. We did put it in when the bill left the House. It

went to the Senate and we were laughed at. People said, "Oh, what does the flu have to do with the economy and with jobs?" Well. Mexico found out when they had to shut down their entire economy for 2 weeks because of the turmoil in that country with the flu. It is now estimated that as many as onethird of Americans will be hit by that flu. This bill has billions of additional dollars to try and meet that challenge. And I would submit to you that the average American family has a greater chance of being hit by that flu than it does to be hit by any terrorist presently ensconced in Guantanamo.

Now, we are also told that the IMF funding is bad because it borrows money in order to give to other countries. You know, this is a tough reality; we have to participate in the world. And when the world economy becomes shaky, we have a responsibility to ourselves to try to stabilize that world economic situation. That is one of the roles that the IMF tries to play. It certainly does it imperfectly—and I've had many arguments with them in the past—but to say that our contribution to the IMF does not benefit us is to be ignorant of history and to be ignorant of how the world economy works.

The fact is that we created the IMF after World War II. Why? Because we saw what led up to World War II. We saw the world's financial system col-

lapse in the thirties. As a result, in Germany, Hitler came to power and 50 million people died. We would kind of like to avoid that this time. And so what we're trying to do is to provide the President with all the tools he needs internationally to defend our economic stability and to stabilize the economy of our trading partners because our economy does not function and we do not create sufficient jobs in this economy unless we help create economic conditions in other countries so they can buy our goods. That's why we do it. It's called enlightened self-interest.

In addition, it has been suggested that somehow money that we appropriate to the IMF is going to go to Iran. Well, let me tell you something, Mr. Speaker. Iran has not had a loan from the IMF since 1962. And under this legislation, the United States representative at the IMF is required to oppose any loan or assistance to countries such as Iran that have been designated by the Secretary of State as a state sponsor of terrorism.

The United States can effectively block loans that it opposes. We've got by far the largest block of votes of any single member. And I doubt very seriously that the IMF is going to approve any loan that we don't approve of.

One other thing. We've been told that somehow the President is endangering

national security because he has not allowed the Congress to pass the Lieberman amendment with respect to the release of those pictures. The fact is the President sent to the conferees a letter and made quite clear that he will do everything in his power to prevent the use of those pictures. I want to quote one paragraph from his letter:

□ 1800

"I deeply appreciate all you have done to help with the efforts to secure funding for the troops and assure you I will continue to take every legal and administrative remedy available to me to ensure that DOD detainee photographs are not released. Should a legislative solution prove necessary, I am committed to work with the Congress to enact legislation that achieves the objectives we all share."

Now, each of us can nitpick or object to certain specifics in this bill, but the great thing about democracy is that after we've had a chance to state our first preferences and fight for what we believe in, in the end we also have an obligation to reach consensus and move on. That's what this bill tries to do. It must be finished before we can move on to finish the rest of our appropriation bills and to get to the other huge items on the agenda, including health care and climate change.

I urge an "aye" vote for the bill.

	(
	Request	House	Senate	Conference Agreement	Conference vs. House	Conference vs. Senate
SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2009						
TITLE I						
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE						
Foreign Agricultural Service						
	300,000					
Public Law 480 Title II Grants		500,000	700,000	700,000	-500,000 +700,000	-700,000 +700,000
(emergency) TITLE I GENERAL PROVISION				700,000	1,00,000	7,00,000
Agricultural Credit Insurance Fund Program Account: Additional Loan authorizations:						
Farm ownership loans: Direct		(360,000)	(360,000)	(360,000)		
Farm operating loans:		(400,000)	(225,000)	(400,000)		(+175,000)
Direct Unsubsidized guaranteed		(50,201)	(223,000)	(50,201)		(+50,201)
Subtotal		(450,201)	(225,000)	(450,201)		(+225,201)
Additional Loan subsidies:						
Farm ownership loans:		22 960	•••		-22,860	
Direct (overseas deployments and activities) Direct (emergency)		22,860	22,860	22,860	+22,860	
Farm operating loans: Direct (overseas deployments and activities)		47,160			-47,160	
Direct (emergency)			26,530	47,160	+47.160	+20,630
Unsubsidized guaranteed: (overseas deployments and activities)		1,250			-1,250	
(emergency)			<i>-</i>	1,250	+1,250	+1,250
Subtotal	•••	48,410	26,530	48,410		+21,880
Rural Development mission (rescission)(emergency).	***	-71,270	-49,390		+71,270	+49,390
Total, Agricultural Credit Insurance Fund				71,270	+71,270	+71,270
(Loan authorization)	••••	(810,201)	(585,000)	(810,201)		(+225,201)
Total, Title I	300,000 (300,000)	500,000	700,000	771,270	+271,270	+71,270
Emergency appropriations	***		(49,390)	(771,270)	(+771,270)	(+721,880)
Overseas deployments and activities Rescissions (emergency)		(571,270) (-71,270)	(700,000) (-49,390)		(-571,270) (+71,270)	(-700,000) (+49,390)
	************	(-/1,2/0)	(-40,000)			**********
TITLE II						
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE						
Economic Development Administration						
Economic development assistance programs (emergency)	•••	•••	40,000	40,000	+40,000	
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE						
General Administration						
Salaries and expenses	30,000			•••		
Detention trustee: (overseas deployments and activities)			60,000			-60,000
(emergency)				60,000	+60,000	+60,000
Total, General Administration	30,000	•	60,000	60,000	+60,000	•••
Legal Activities						
Salaries and expenses, general legal activities	1,648	4 040	4 640		4 640	4 640
(overseas deployments and activities) (emergency)	•••	1,648	1,648	1,648	-1,648 +1,648	-1,648 +1,648
United States Attorneys, Salaries and expenses	5,000	F 000	5.000	•••	-5,000	-5,000
(overseas deployments and activities) (emergency)	•	5,000	5,000 10,000	15,000	+15,000	+5,000
Total, Legal Activities	6,648	6,648	16,648	16,648	+10,000	

	(·					
	Request	House	Senate	Conference Agreement	Conference vs. House	Conference vs. Senate
United States Marshals Service				•••••		
Salaries and expenses:						
(overseas deployments and activities)			10,000			-10,000
(emergency)	•••			10,000	+10,000	+10,000
National Security Division						
Salaries and expenses	1,389			•••		
(overseas deployments and activities)		1,389	1,389		-1,389	-1,389
(emergency)		***	•••	1,389	+1,389	+1,389
Federal Bureau of Investigation						_
Salaries and expenses (emergency) Drug Enforcement Administration		***	35,000	35,000	+35,000	
Salaries and expenses:						
(overseas deployments and activities)			20,000			-20,000
(emergency)		•••		20,000	+20,000	+20,000
Bureau of Alcohol Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives						
Salaries and expenses	4,000					
(oversezs deployments and activities)	• • • •	4,000	14,000	44 000	-4,000	-14,000
(emergency)		•••		14,000	+14,000	+14,000
Federal Prison System						
Salaries and expenses	5,038					
(overseas deployments and activities)		5,038	5,038	£ 000	-5,038	-5,038
(emergency)	•••			5,038	+5,038	+5,038
Total, Department of Justice	47,075	17,075	162,075	162,075	+145,000	
GENERAL PROVISIONS						
Office of Inspector General:						
(overseas deployments and activities)		3,000		3,000	-3,000 +3,000	+3,000
(emergency)(rescission) (emergency)		-3,000	•••	-3,000		-3,000
Total, Legal Activities					••••	
iotal, Leges Activities						
Total, Title II	47,075	17,075	202,075	202,075	+185,000	
Appropriations	(47,075)		202,073	202,075		•••
Emergency appropriations			(85,000)	(205,075)	(+205,075)	(+120,075)
Overseas deployments and activities		(20,075) (-3,000)	(117,075)	(-3.000)	(-20,075)	(-117,075) (-3,000)
Rescissions (emergency)	******	(-3,000)		(-3,000) EESSAKSEKESEK 1	**********	(-3,000)
TITLE III						
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE						
Military Personnel						
Hillitary Personnel, Army	10,195,106					.004.040
(overseas deployments and activities)	1,354,782	10,924,641	11,455,777	11,750,687	+826,046	+294,910
(overseas deployments and activities)	1,334,762	1,716,827	1,565,227	1,627,288	-89,539	+62,061
Military Personnel, Marine Corps	1,419,979		4 404 959	4 504 047	 50 000	
(overseas deployments and activities) Hilitary Personnel, Air Force	1,390,554	1,577,850	1,464,353	1,524,947	-52,903	+60,594
(overseas deployments and activities)		1,783,208	1,469,173	1,500,740	-282,468	+31,567
Reserve Personnel, Army	284,155	381,155	387,155	418,155	+37,000	+31,000
Reserve Personnel, Navy	39,478					
(overseas deployments and activities)	20 470	39,478	39,478	39,478		
Reserve Personnel, Harine Corps(overseas deployments and activities)	29,179	29,179	29,179	29,179		,
Reserve Personnel, Air Force	16,943					
(overseas deployments and activities) National Guard Personnel, Army	1,439,333	16,943	14,943	14,943	-2,000	
(overseas deployments and activities)	1,435,333	1,373,273	1,542,333	1,775,733	+402,460	+233,400
National Guard Personnel, Air Force	17,860		•••	46 000	 66 260	4 860
(overseas deployments and activities)	•	101,360	46,860	45,000	-56,360	-1,860
Total, Military Personnel	16,187,369	17,943,914	18,014,478	18,726,150	+782,236	+711,672

	Request	House	Senate	Conference Agreement	Conference vs. House	Conference vs. Senate
Operation and Maintenance						
Operation & Haintenance, Army(overseas deployments and activities)	14,119,401	14,024,703	13,933,801	13,769,418	-255,285	-164,383
Operation & Maintenance, Navy(overseas deployments and activities)	2,390,116	2,367,959	2,337,360	2,274,903	-93,056	-62,457
(Transfer out)	(-129,503) 1,090,842	(-129,503)			(+129,503)	
(overseas deployments and activities) Operation & Haintenance, Air Force	6,294,031	1,084,081	1,037,842	1,034,366	-49,715	-3,476
(overseas deployments and activities) Operation & Haintenance, Defense-Wide	5,667,483	6,216,729	5,992,125	5,980,386	-236,343	-11,739
(overseas deployments and activities)(Transfer out)	(-30,000)	5,353,701 (-30,000)	5,065,783	5,101,696	-252,005 (+30,000)	+35,913
Operation & Haintenance, Army Reserve	115,017	101,317	110,017	110,017	+8,700	
Operation & Maintenance, Navy Reserve	25,569	24,318	25,569	25,569	+1,251	
Operation & Haintenance, Harine Corps Reserve (overseas deployments and activities)	30,775	30,775	30,775	30,775		
Operation & Haintenance, Air Force Reserve	34,599	34,599	34,599	34,599		
Operation & Maintenance, Army National Guard (overseas deployments and activities)	203,399	178,446	203,399	178,446		-24,953
Subtotal, Operation and Maintenance	29,971,232	29,416,628	28,771,270	28,540,175	-876,453	-231,095
Iraq Freedom Fund	415,000	365,000	***		-365,000	
(overseas deployments and activities)	3,606,939	•••				
(overseas deployments and activities) Iraq Security Forces Fund (overseas deployments and		3,606,939	3,606,939	3,606,939		4 000 000
activities). Pakistan Counterinsurgency Capability Fund 1/ Pakistan Counterinsurgency Fund (overseas deployments	400,000		1,000,000	•••		-1,000,000
and activities)		400,000	400,000	400,000		
Total, Operation and Maintenance	34,393,171	33,788,567	33,778,209	32,547,114	-1,241,453	-1,231,095
Procurement						
Aircraft Procurement, Army(overseas deployments and activities)	762,604	1,285,304	315,684	1,192,744	-92,560	+877,060
Missile Procurement, Army (overseas deployments and activities)	767,141 	677,141	737,041	704,041	+26,900	-33,000
Army(overseas deployments and activities)	1,683,371	2,233,871	1,434,071	1,983,971	-249,900	+549,900
Procurement of Ammunition, Army	230,075	230,075	230,075	230,075		
Other Procurement, Army	8,121,572	8,039,349	7,029,145	7,113,742	-925.607	+84,597
Aircraft Procurement, Navy	600,999	691,924	754,299	636,669	-55,255	-117,630
Weapons Procurement, Navy	99,540	31,698	31,403	29,498	-2,200	-1,905
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps (overseas deployments and activities)	348,919	348,919	348,919	346,919		
Other Procurement, Navy	264,826	172,095	207,181	197,193	+25,098	-9,988
Procurement, Marine Corps	1,638,386	1,509,986	1,658,347	1,526,447	+16,461	-131,900
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force. (overseas deployments and activities)	2,378,818	5,138,268	2,064,118	4,592,068	-546,200	+2,527,950
Missile Procurement, Air Force	57,416	57,416	49,716	49,716	-7,700	•••
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force	183,684	183,684	138,284	158,684	-25,000	+20,400
Other Procurement, Air Force	1,834,953	1,745,761	1,910,343	1,802,083	+56,322	-108,260
Procurement, Defense-Wide(overseas deployments and activities)	197,068	200,068	237,868	237,868	+37,800	
Hine Resistant Ambush Protection Vehicle Fund	2,693,000	4,843,000	4,243,000	4,543,000	-300,000	+300,000
National Guard and Reserve Equipment (overseas deployments and activities)		500,000	500,000	500,000		
Total, Procurement	21,862,372	27,888,559	21,889,494	25,846,718	-2,041,841	+3,957,224

	-	·				
	Request	House	Senate	Conference Agreement	Conference vs. House	Conference vs. Senate
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation						
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	73,734		•••	•••	•••	
(overseas deployments and activities)		73,734	71,935	52,935	-20,799	-19,000
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy (overseas deployments and activities)	144,536	96,231	141,681	136,786	+40,555	-4,895
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Air Force	108,259	•••			***	
(overseas deployments and activities)		92,574	174,159	160,474	+67,900	-13,685
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide	483,368	•••				
(overseas deployments and activities)		459,391	498,168	483,304	+23,913	-14,864
Total, Research, Development, Test and						• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Evaluation	809,897	721,930	885,943	833,499	+111,569	-52,444
Revolving and Management Funds						
Defense Working Capital Fund, Army	443,200					
(overseas deployments and activities)		443,200	443,200	443,200		•••
Defense Working Capital Fund, Air Force (overseas deployments and activities)			15,000	15,000	+15,000	
Defense Working Capital Fund, Defense-Wide	403,526	:::			•	
(overseas deployments and activities)		403,526	403,526	403,526		
Total, Revolving and Management Funds	846,726	846,726	861,726	861,726	+15,000	
Other Decembers of Defense December						
Other Department of Defense Programs						
Defense Health Program:						
Operation and maintenance(overseas deployments and activities)	845,508	845,508	845,508	845,508		
Procurement	30,185	043,300	043,500			
(overseas deployments and activities)		50,185	30,185	50,185	•••	+20,000
Research and development(overseas deployments and activities)	33,604	201,604	33,604	159,604	-42,000	+126,000
(,,,,,						
Total, Defense Health Program	909,297	1,097,297	909,297	1,055,297	-42,000	+146,000
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense	141,198					
(overseas deployments and activities)	•	137,198	123,398	120,398	-16,800	-3,000
Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund: Attack the Network	499,830					
(overseas deployments and activities)		499,830	349,830	349,830	-150,000	
Defeat the Device	607,389	457,389	457,389	457,389		
Train the Force	333,527	437,309	437,309	457,309		
(overseas deployments and activities)	•••	333,527	283,527	283,527	-50,000	
Staff and infrastructure(overseas deployments and activities)	26,000	26,000	26,000	26,000		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
Total, Joint IED Defeat Fund	1,466,746	1,316,746	1,116,746	1,116,746	-200,000	
Office of the Inspector General	9,551					
(overseas deployments and activities)		9,551	9,551	9,551		
Total, Other Department of Defense Programs	2,526,792	2,560,792	2,158,992	2,301,992	-258,800	+143,000
·	-,,	2,000,702	2,,00,002	2,00.,002	200,000	, ,0,000
Department of Defense General Provisions						
Special DE transfer authority (this title only)	(4,000,000)					
(overseas deployments and activities)	(4 500 000)	(2,000,000)	(2,500,000)	(2,500,000)	(+500,000)	
Additional transfer authority Defense Cooperation Account	(1,500,000) 6,500			•••	•••	•••
(overseas deployments and activities)		6,500	6,500	6,500		
Iraq Security Forces Fund	1,000,000	1,000,000			-1,000,000	
(emergency)			•••	1,000,000	+1,000,000	+1,000,000
(rescission) (emergency)	-1,000,000 125,000	-1,000,000		-1,000,000		-1,000,000
Afghanistan Security Forces Fund	125,000	125,000			-125,000	
(rescission) (emergency)	-125,000	-125,000		:::	+125,000	
Fuel (rescission) (overseas deployments and activities) (rescission)	-2,900,000	-3,010,000	•••	-1,003,007 -1,906,993	+2,006,993 -1,906,993	-1,003,007 -1,906,993
Classified (rescission)	-10,000			-1,500,553	-1,500,553	-1,800,893
Classified and other (overseas deployments				4 064 460	4 064 460	4 064 460
and activities) (rescission)			-925,203	-1,051,160	-1,051,160	-1,051,160 +925,203
(overseas deployments and activities) (rescission)			-3,646,633			+3,646,633
Personnel: Army, Army Reserve, & Army National Guard Procurement, Army (rescission)	470,900 -470,900					
(overseas deployments and activities) (rescission)	-470,900			-354,000	-354,000	-354,000
• •						

	•	•				
	Request	House	Senate	Conference Agreement	Conference vs. House	
Personnel: Army, Army Reserve, and Army National						
Guard (by transfer) (overseas deployments)		(150,600)			(-150,600)	
Procurement, Army (transfer out)		(-150,600)		•••	(+150,600)	
Operation and maintenance, Defense-wide (rescission)2/	-181,500	-181,500	•		+181,500	
(overseas deployments and activities) (rescission)				-181,500	-181,500	-181,500
Stop Loss Transfer Fund (overseas deployments and activities)		734,400		534,400	-200,000	+534,400
Total, DOD General Provisions	-3,085,000	-2,450,600	-4,565,336	-3,955,760	-1,505,160	+609,576
Total, Title III	73,541,327 (78,228,727)	81,299,888	73,023,506	77,161,439	-4,138,449	+4,137,933
Appropriations	(10,220,727)	•••		(1,000,000)	(+1,000,000)	(+1,000,000)
Overseas deployments and activities	•••	(85,766,988)	(77,595,342)	(81,658,099)	(-4,108,889)	(+4,062,757)
Rescissions	(-3,562,400)	(-3,342,100)	(-925,203)	(-1,003,007)	(+2,339,093)	(-77,804)
Rescissions (emergency)	(-1,125,000)	(-1,125,000)	•••	(-1,000,000)	(+125,000)	(-1,000,000)
activities)			(-3,646,633)	(-3,493,653)	(-3,493,653)	(+152,980)
(Transfer out)	(-159,503)	(-310,103)		•••	(+310,103)	
(Transfer authority)	(5,500,000)				•••	
Overseas deployments and activities: (Transfer out)		(150,600)			(-150,600)	
(Transfer authority)	•••	(2,000,000)	(2,500,000)	(2,500,000)	(+500,000)	•••
(112113131 201131 13)	222222222	***************************************				**********
International Security Assistance, Pakistan Counterinsurgency Capability Fund (Title XI) 2/ Budget request includes rescission to fund filitary Construction, Defense-Wide						
TITLE IV						
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE - CIVIL DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY						
Corps of Engineers - Civil						
peration and maintenance (emergency)			38,375 804,290	42,875 754,290	+42,875 +754,290	+4,500 -50,000
Total, Corps of Engineers - Civil			842,665	797,165	+797,165	-45,500
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY						
Energy Programs						
Strategic Petroleum Reserve						
Strategic Petroleum Reserve (by transfer)	(21,586)	(21,586)	(21,586)	(21,586)	(-21,586) (+21,586)	
National Nuclear Security Administration						
leapons activities:						
(overseas deployments and activities)			34,500			-34,500
(emergency)				30,000	+30,000	+30,000
Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation	89,500	EE 000	FF 000		ee 000	EE 000
(overseas deployments and activities)		55,000	55,000	55,000	-55,000 +55,000	-55,000 +55,000
Total, National Nuclear Security Administration.	89,500	55,000	89,500	85,000	+30,000	-4,500
•	•••••					
Total, Title IV	89,500	55,000	932,165	882,165	+827,165	-50,000
Emergency appropriations	***		(842,665)	(882,165)	(+882,165)	(+39,500)
Overseas deployments and activities	(21 596)	(55,000)	(89,500)		(-55,000)	(-89,500)
(By transfer)(By transfer) (emergency)	(21,586)	(21,586)	(21,586)	(21,586)	(-21,586) (+21,586)	
(by Liminator) (amorganicy)				(21,500) \$222222222	(*21,360)	

Request	House	Senate	Conference Agreement	Conference vs. House	Conference vs. Senate
•••	•••	4,000			-4,000
(3,500,000)		1,500,000			-1,500,000
2,936 	2,936	2,936	 2,936	-2,936 +2,936	-2,936 +2,936
2,936	2,936	1,502,936	2,936		-1,500,000
		10,000	10,000	+10,000	-10,000 +10,000
		10,000	10,000	+10,000	
•			8,000	+8,000	+8,000
 		4,000	4,000	+4,000	-4,000 +4,000
2,936 (2,936) 	2,936 (2,936)	1,530,936 (4,000) (1,514,000) (12,936)	34,936 (34,936)	+32,000 (+34,936) (-2,936)	-1,496,000 (-4,000) (-1,479,064) (-12,936)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	D.保备收益。22.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2	istriuscuscus r	######################################	************	
	_				
	•				
		46,200	46,200	+46,200	-46,200 +46,200
···		5,000	5,000	+5,000	-5,000 +5,000
	••••	51,200	51,200	+51,200	••••
	•••	66,800	66,800	+66,800	-66,800 +66,800
	(3,500,000) 2,936 2,936 (2,936) 	(3,500,000) 2,936 2,936 2,936 2,936 2,936 (2,936) (2,936) (2,936) (2,936)	4,000 (3,500,000) 1,500,000 2,936 2,936 2,936 10,000 10,000 10,000 10,000 4,000 4,000 (1,514,000) (2,936) (12,936) (2,936) (12,936) (2,936) (12,936) (2,936) (1,514,000) (2,936) (1,514,000) (2,936) (1,514,000) (2,936) (1,514,000) (2,936) (1,514,000) (2,936) (1,514,000) (2,936) (1,514,000) (2,936) (1,514,000) (2,936) (1,514,000) (2,936) (1,514,000) (2,936) (1,514,000) (2,936) (1,514,000) (2,936) (1,514,000) (2,936) (1,514,000) (2,936) (1,514,000) (2,936) (1,514,000) (2,936) (1,514,000) (3,500,000)	(3,500,000) 1,500,000 2,936 2,	(3,500,000) 1,500,000 2,936 2,936 2,936 2,936 2,936 2,936 2,936 1,502,936 10,000

	(Amounts	iii tiloosailas)				
	Request	. House	Senate	Conference Agreement		
Coast Guard						
Operating expenses (overseas deployments and						
activities)	•		139,503	139,503	+139,503	
Federal Emergency Management Agency						
State and local programs: (overseas deployments and activities)			30,000			-30,000
(emergency)		•••	30,000	30,000	+30,000	+30,000
TITLE VI GENERAL PROVISIONS						
FEMA Disaster Relief (rescission of emergency)			-100,000	-100,000	-100,000	
FEMA State and local programs (emergency) Federal Share of Disaster Assistance (emergency)			100,000	100,000	+100,000	•••
reverse Share of Disaster Assistante (emergency)						•••••
Total, Title VI		•••	287,503	287,503	+287,503	
Emergency appropriations Overseas deployments and activities			(100,000) (287,503)	(248,000) (139,503)	(+248,000) (+139,503)	(+148,000)
Rescissions (emergency)			(-100,000)	(-100,000)	(-100,000)	(-148,000)
TITLE VII						2003258244
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR						
Department-wide Programs						
Wildland fire management:						
Wildfire suppression and emergency rehabilitation.		50,000	50,000	50,000		
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE						
Forest Service						
Wildland fire management:						
Wildfire suppression and emergency rehabilitation. (emergency)		200,000	200,000	200,000	~~	
	•••••				•••••	•••••
Total, Title VII		250,000	250,000	250,000		
Appropriations Emergency appropriations	(250,000)	(250,000)	(250,000)	(250,000)		
TITLE VIII	*********	(200,000)	(200,000)		*********	**********
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES						
Administration for Children and Families						
Refugee and entrant assistance: (overseas deployments and activities)			82,000			-82,000
(emergency)	•	•••		82,000	+82,000	+82,000
Office of the Secretary						
Public Health and Social Services Emergency Fund 1/	1,500,000			•		
(contingent funds)	2,000,000	1,850,000		1,850,000		+1,850,000
(contingent emergency)				5,800,000	+5,800,000	+5,800,000
Total, PHSSEF	3,500,000	1,850,000		7,650,000	+5,800,000	+7,650,000
TITLE VIII GENERAL PROVISIONS						
Department of Education: Career, Technical, and			,.= -=-:	,,,		
Adult Education (by transfer)			(17,678)	(10,260)	(+10, 260)	(-7,418)
Total, Title VIII	3,500,000	1,850,000 (1,850,000)	82,000	7,732,000 (1,932,000)	+5,882,000 (+82,000)	+7,650,000 (+1,932,000)
Contingent emergency appropriations		(1,850,000)		(5,800,000)	(+5,800,000)	(+5,800,000)
Overseas deployments and activities			(82,000) (17,678)	(10,260)	(+10,260)	(-82,000) (-7,418)
(wy standard)	**********	25255555555	(17,070)		(*10,200)	

Title VIII: 1/ Budget request and Senate proposes funds under the Executive Office of the President

	Request	House	Senate	Conference Agreement	Conference vs. House	Conference vs. Senate
TITLE IX						
JOINT ITEMS						
Capitol Police						
General expenses(emergency)	71,606 	71,606	71,606 	71,606		-71,606 +71,606
CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE						
Salaries and expenses			2,000	2,000	+2,000	-2,000 +2,000
Total, Title IX	71,606 (71,606)	71,606 (71,606)	73,606 (73,606)	73,606 (73,606)	+2,000 (+2,000)	(-73,606) (+73,606)
Email Sourch, applications	232224#2#2#2	(71,000)	EEEESSP#######	(75,000)	*******	********
TITLE X						
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE						
Military construction, Army	1,229,731					
(overseas deployments and activities)	•••	1,407,231	1,229,731 49,000	1,182,989 143,242	-224,242 +143,242	-46,742 +94,242
(Rescission) (emergency)	239,031	-142,500	-49,000	-143,242	-742	-94,242
(overseas deployments and activities)	·	235,881	243,083	235,881		-7,202
Military construction, Air Force(overseas deployments and activities)	280,970	279,120	265,470	281,620	+2,500	+16,150
(rescission) (emergency)	181,500	-30,000	181,500		+30,000	-181,500
(overseas deployments and activities)		1,086,968		681,552	-425,416	+661,552
Subtotal, Military construction	1,931,232	2,836,700	1,919,784	2,362,042	-474,658	+442,258
North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment	100 000				•••	
program(overseas deployments and activities)	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000		
Department of Defense Base Closure Account 2005 (overseas deployments and activities)	263,300	263,300	230,900	263,300	•••	+32,400
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Total, Title X	2,294,532 (2,294,532)	3,200,000	2,250,684 (181,500)	2,725,342	-474,658	+474,658 (-181,500)
Emergency appropriationsOverseas deployments and activities		(3,372,500)	(49,000) (2,069,184)	(143,242) (2,725,342)	(+143,242) (-647,158)	(+94,242) (+656,158)
Rescissions (emergency)		(-172,500)	(-49,000)	(-143,242)	(+29, 258)	(-94,242)
Title X: 1/ Budget request includes rescission of \$181.5M from O&M, Defense-Wide under Title III						
TITLE XI						
DEPARTMENT OF STATE						
Administration of Foreign Affairs						
Diplomatic and consular programs	594,315	4 040 045	645 444		40.005	.050 446
(overseas deployments and activities)	(117,983)	1,016,215 (403,983)	645,444 (117,983)	997,890 (146,358)	-18,325 (-257,625)	+352,446 (+28,375)
(transfer authority)(overseas deployments and activities)	(137,600)	(157,600)	(135,629)	(137,600)	(-20,000)	(+1,971)
International Broadcasting Operations, Pakistan- Afghanistan Border Region (by transfer)		(10,900)	(10,000)	(10,000)	(-900)	
(transfer out)	7,201		(-40,000)		•••	(+40,000)
(overseas deployments and activities)	•••	17,123	22,200	24,122	+6,999	+1,922
Reconstruction (by transfer)	•••		(7,000)	(7,000)	(+7,000)	•••
Reconstruction (by transfer)	(7,201)	(7 201)	(7 200)	(7 200)	 (-1)	
(overseas deployments and activities) Embassy security, construction, and maintenance	898,728	(7,201)	(7,200)	(7,200)	(-1)	
(overseas deployments and activities)	•	989,628	820,500	921,500	-68,128	+101,000
Total, Administration of Foreign Affairs	1,500,244	2,022,966	1,488,144	1,943,512	-79,454	+455,368

	(Minorite III	thousands)				
	Request	House	Senate	Conference Agreement	Conference vs. House	Conference vs. Şenate
International Organizations						
Contributions for international peacekeeping						
activities, current year	836,900	***	704 000	704 000	445 000	
(overseas deployments and activities)		836,900	721,000 (155, 9 00)	721,000	-115,900	(-155,900)
T-4-1 T-4	826 000	************	704 000	774 000	445.000	
Total, International Organizations	836,900	836,900	721,000	721,000	-115,900	
Total, Department of State	2,337,144	2,859,866	2,209,144	2,664,512	-195,354	+455,368
UNITED STATES AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT						
Funds Appropriated to the President						
Operating expenses	152,600			• • •		•
(overseas deployments and activities)		152,600	112,600	157,600	+5,000	+45,000
(by transfer)	48,500	•••	(40,000)			(-40,000)
(overseas deployments and activities)	40,000	48,500	48,500	48,500	***	•••
Office of Inspector General (overseas deployments and activities)	***	3,500	3,500	3,500		
(by transfer)		(2,000)		(2,000)	***	(+2,000)
BILATERAL ECONOMIC ASSISTANCE						
Funds Appropriated to the President						
Global Health and Child Survival (overseas deployments						
and activities)	38.000	300,000	50,000	150,000	-150,000	+100,000
(overseas deployments and activities)			38,000	•••	***	-38,000
International disaster assistance	230,000					
(overseas deployments and activities)	3,004,500	200,000	245,000	270,000	+70,000	+25,000
(overseas deployments and activities)		2,907,500	2,828,000	2,973,601	+66,101	+145,601
(transfer out)		(-2,000)		(-2,000)	***	(-2,000)
Assistance for Europe, Eurasia and Central Asia (overseas deployments and activities)	242,500	242,500	230,000	272,000	+29,500	+42,000
Assistance for Georgia (overseas deployments			•		***	
and activities)	***	***	42,500		***	-42,500
Subtotal, Europe, Eurasia, and Central Asia	242,500	242,500	272,500	272,000	+29,500	-500
Department of State						
International narcotics control and law	200 500					
enforcement(overseas deployments and activities)	389,500	483,500	393,500	487,500	+4,000	+94,000
Migration and refugee assistance	333,000	•••				•••
(overseas deployments and activities) Nonproliferation, anti-terrorism, demining and		343,000	345,000	390,000	+47,000	+45,000
related programs	122,000					•••
(overseas deployments and activities)		98,500	102,000	102,000	+3,500	
Total, Bilateral Economic Assistance	4,359,500	4,575,000	4,274,000	4,645,101	+70,101	+371,101
INTERNATIONAL SECURITY ASSISTANCE						
Peacekeeping operations	50,000	•••		***		***
(overseas deployments and activities)		80,000	172,900	185,000	+105,000	+12,100
(transfer out)	2 000		(-155, 9 00)			(+155,900)
International Hilitary Education and Training (overseas deployments and activities)	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000		
Foreign Military Financing Program	98,400					
(overseas deployments and activities)		1,349,000 400,000	98,000	1,294,000 700,000	-55,000 +300,000	+1,196,000 +700,000
Total, International Security Assistance	150,400	1,831,000	272,900	2,181,000	+350,000	+1,908,100
Total, Title XI	7,048,144	9,470,466	6,920,644	9,700,213	+229,747	+2,779,569
Appropriations	(7,048,144)					•••
Overseas deployments and activities(By transfer)	(137,600)	(9,470,466)	(6,920,644)	(9,700,213)	(+229,747)	(+2,779,569)
(By transfer) (overseas deployments & activities)	•••	(175,701)	(159,829)	(161,800)	(-13,901)	(+1,971)
	***********	*******	************	B 华兰女士李本本女女	*****	

Title XI:
1/ Budget request includes \$400M under Title III

	Request	House	Senate	Conference Agreement	Conference vs. House	Conference vs. Senate
	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
TITLE XII						
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
Office of the Secretary						
Payments to air carriers (Airport & Airway Trust Fund) (emergency)			13,200	13,200	+13,200	-13,200 +13,200
Federal Aviation Administration						
Grants-in-aid for airports (Airport and Airway Trust Fund (rescission of contract authority)	•	•••	-13,200	-13,200	-13,200	
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT						
Public and Indian Housing						
Tenant-based rental assistance (emergency)	•••		30,000	30,000	+30,000	
TITLE XII GENERAL PROVISIONS						
Federal Highway Administration,						
Emergency Relief (North Dakota) (outlays only) (emergency) (outlays only)					•	
Assistance (emergency) (outlays only)	•••	•••				•••
Total, Title XII	•••		30,000	30,000	+30,000	
Appropriations Emergency appropriations			(13,200) (30,000)	(43,200)	(+43,200)	(-13,200) (+13,200)
Rescission of contract authority			(-13,200)	(-13,200)	(-13,200)	
TITLE XIII						
CONSUMER ASSISTANCE TO RECYCLE AND SAVE ACT						
Consumer Assistance to Recycle and Save (emergency)	•			1,000,000	+1,000,000	+1,000,000
Total, Title XIII			•••	1,000,000	+1,000,000	+1,000,000
Appropriations Emergency appropriations			•••	(1,000,000)	(+1,000,000)	(+1,000,000)
TITLE XIV	=======================================	*********	2222222222	****	**********	********
OTHER MATTERS						
INTERNATIONAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS						
International Monetary Programs						
United States Quota, International Monetary fund and Loans to International Monetary fund	5,000,000					
(overseas deployments and activities)			5,000,000	5,000,000	+5,000,000	-5,000,000 +5,000,000
Total, Title XIV	5,000.000		5,000,000	5,000,000	+5,000,000	
Appropriations	(5,000,000)	•••				
Emergency appropriations	 		****	(5,000,000)	(+5,000,000)	(+5,000,000)
Grand total	92,145,120 (96,832,520)	96,716,971	91,283,119 (272,306)	105,850,549	+9,133,578	+14,567,430 (-272,306)
Emergency appropriations	•••	(2,171,606)	(2,920,055)	(11,583,494)	(+9,411,888)	(+8,663,439)
Contingent emergency appropriations Overseas deployments and activities		(99,436,936)	(93,229,913)	(5,800,000) (94,386,957)	(+5,800,000) (-5,049,979)	(+5,800,000) (+1,157,044)
Rescissions	(-3,562,400) (-1,125,000)	(-3,191,500) (-1,371,770)	(-925,203) (-198,390)	(-1,003,007) (-1,246,242)	(+2,188,493) (+125,528)	(-77,804) (-1,047,852)
Rescissions (oversess deployments					•	
and other activities)	•••		(-3,646,633) (-13,200)	(-3,493,653) (-13,200)	(-3,493,653) (-13,200)	(+152,980)
(By transfer)	(28,787) (-159,503)	(349,887) (-310,103)	(373,407)	(174,060)	(-175,827) (+310,103)	(-199,347)
(Transfer out)(Transfer authority)	(5,637,600)				•••	•••
(By transfer) (emergency)	•••		(21,586)	(21,586)	(+21,586)	•
(By transfer)		(328,301)	(355,729)	(163,800)	(-164,501)	(-191,929)
(Transfer out)(Transfer authority)	•••	(-2,000) (2,000,000)	(-195,900) (2,500,000)	(-2,000) (2,500,000)	(+500,000)	(+193,900)
••	2222224	22522222222				*****

Overseas deployments and activities	
Appropriations (300,000)	
Emergency appropriations	+71,270
Appropriations (47,075)	+771,270) -700,000)
Emergency appropriations	
Overseas deployments and activities (20,075) (117,075) (-20,075) (17,075) (-21,086) (17,075) (17,075) (-20,075) (17,075) (17,075)	+117,075)
Appropriations	-117,075)
Emergency appropriations	,137,933 (-77,804)
Appropriations (89,500) (-21,586) (-	,215,737)
Emergency appropriations	-50,000
Overseas deployments and activities (76,586) (89,500) (-76,586) TITLE V EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, JUDICIARY, AND INDEPENDENT AGENCIES 2,936 2,936 1,530,936 34,936 +32,000 -1 Appropriations (2,936) (4,000) Emergency appropriations (1,514,000) (34,936) (+34,936) (-1 Overseas deployments and activities 2,936 12,936 2,936 TITLE VI DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY 287,503 287,503 +287,503	(+39,500)
AND INDEPENDENT AGENCIES	(-89,500)
Appropriations	,496,000
Overseas deployments and activities 2,936 12,936 -2,936 TITLE VI DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY 287,503 287,503 +287,503	(-4,000)
	,479,064) -12,936
Emergency appropriations (148,000) (+148,000)	+148,000) -148,000)
Overseas deployments and activities	-140,000,
Appropriations (250,000)	
Emergency appropriations	
TITLE VIII DEPARTMENTS OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES,	
AND EDUCATION	,650,000 (-10,260)
Emergency appropriations	,742,260) (-82,000)
TITLE IX LEGISLATIVE BRANCH	
Appropriations 71,606 73,606 Emergency appropriations 71,606 73,606 +2,000	-73,606 +73,606
Overseas deployments and activities	
	+474,658 -181,500)
Emergency appropriations	
	+656,158)
Appropriations (7,048,144)	,779,569
Emergency appropriations	,779,569)
TITLE XII DEPARTMENTS OF TRANSPORTATION, AND HOUSING	
AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT	(-13,200)
Emergency appropriations	+13,200
······································	,000,000
Appropriations	,000,000
Overseas deployments and activities	,000,000
TITLE XIV INTERNATIONAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS 5,000,000 5,000,000 5,000,000 +5,000,000	•
Overseas deployments and activities (5,000,000) (-5	,000,000 ,000,000)
ADDROUGHAILT SCHOOLSCHOOLSCHOOLSCHOOLSCHOOLSCHOOLSCHOOLSCHOOLSCHOOLSCHOOLSCHOOLSCHOOLSCHOOLSCHOOLSCHoolschoo	,567,430
Appropriations	-360,370) ,425,847)
	,501,953)

Mr. HOLT. Mr. Speaker, there are a number of reasons to vote for this bill. The bill funds our withdrawal from Iraq, stop-loss compensation for our troops, a more robust pandemic flu response, extends the 21st Century GI Bill of Rights education benefits to children of members of the armed forces who die while on active duty, additional international food and refugee assistance during the current global economic crisis, and other worthy programs as well. But candidly, those issues are ancillary to the real issue before us: this vote is essentially about whether or not we support current Administration policy in Afghanistan and Pakistan. I hope the President does not let the country down on this.

Does the Congress want to support and fund the President's new military plan? Looking back at this vote from the future, it will be seen as a vote on the war in Afghanistan and Pakistan. Will a vote for this bill move us closer to a peaceful resolution of the conflict in southwest Asia?

In this dangerous, complicated world it is never clear how to advance peace, prosperity. and justice for ourselves and the rest of the world. We Members of Congress are called on to exercise our best judgment, and in my best judgment what the President has done so far in Afghanistan is not the way forward, and the President will have to change the policy. The President is doing much good at home and abroad, and I want to support him wherever I can. However, he so far has not changed the policy in Afghanistan in a way that shows he has learned the lessons of Iraq. Nevertheless, I am willing to give him the opportunity to operate from a position of strength in forming that new policy.

The chairman of the full committee has suggested that he is willing to give the President a year to turn things around in Afghanistan and Pakistan, but no more than that—an argument that has considerable merit. The problem, though, is that the President's new policy may not be new enough-not enough of a clean break with the past policy that placed excessive reliance on the use of force to solve what are by definition political problems. We should take a lesson from Iraq where it was not an American troop surge that reduced violence, but rather empowering local provincial forces. And as in Iraq, it will be a reduced American combat presence that will ultimately allow the country to find some peace. Socalled surgical strikes-with inevitable civilian casualties-and remote assassinations will not remove the threat of militant extremists.

Our understanding of Afghanistan is inadequate and our poor intelligence in Afghanistan and Pakistan limits our ability to carry out any strategy. We are moving forward in Afghanistan with too much military bravado and too little genuine understanding. Other countries are opting out of combat, not because they are cowards, but because they do not see the situation the same way. Some of us have asked for a plan of success or a plan of withdrawal before sending another wave of soldiers. We have received no such plan.

As with other tragic wars without a clear plan of how to get out, I fear we may be taking a first step that will be followed by sending soldier after soldier to redeem our sunk costs. Alexander the Great, the Mongols, the Mughals, the British, the Soviets—all their military interventions in this region ended badly because they misread the people and the his-

tory of this region. I am giving the President the benefit of the doubt on this request by supporting it, with this caveat: my patience has limits.

I will not support an endless military commitment in this region. Reading between the lines, I suspect I see the letter Q in Afghanistan—as in quagmire. If a year from now I do not see unambiguous indicators of success—fewer civilian casualties, Afghan and Pakistani security forces in the lead on the security mission, genuine progress in rebuilding Afghanistan's shattered infrastructure and civil institutions—I will not support further funding for operations and will support only measures that will bring our forces home, and quickly.

Mr. DEFAZIO. Mr. Speaker, the fiscal year 2009 Iraq/Afghanistan Defense Supplemental Appropriations bill provides \$105.9 billion, 77 percent of which would be to cover costs relating to the wars in Afghanistan and Iraq for the rest of this fiscal year. I voted for these funds because I chose to give President Obama time to implement his Afghanistan strategy and withdraw troops from Iraq. But it was not an easy decision.

The war in Afghanistan has entered its eighth year without clearly defined objectives and an exit strategy. With a deteriorating security situation and no comprehensive political outcome yet in sight, some experts view the war in Afghanistan as open-ended. Had the Bush Administration not shifted its focus to the unnecessary war in Iraq, we may have already brought Al Qaeda and the Taliban to justice. I believe President Obama made an error by ordering an additional 17,000 troops to Afghanistan before first completing a detailed review of U.S. Afghanistan policies. Continuing the vaguely defined strategies of the Bush Administration is not acceptable.

The President did finally lay out a strategy for Afghanistan in late March of this year. It made some significant improvements to the Afghanistan strategy, but fell short in other areas. For example, I was pleased to see a regional approach, "treating Afghanistan and Pakistan as two countries but one challenge," and a commitment to "devote significantly more resources to the civilian efforts in both Afghanistan and Pakistan." These are significant improvements over the Bush Administration's approach.

Unfortunately, the President's new Afghan strategy fails to set clear benchmarks for the Afghanistan and Pakistan governments and fails to lay out the consequences of not meeting the benchmarks. It is not surprising that the President has also failed to set benchmarks for the Pentagon and State Department too.

Thankfully, the supplemental bill lays out detailed benchmarks for Afghanistan and Pakistan and the President must report back to Congress on the:

- (1) Level of political consensus and unity of purpose across ethnic, tribal, religious and party affiliations to confront the political and security challenges facing the region.
- (2) Level of government corruption and actions taken to eliminate it.
- (3) Performance of the respective security forces in developing a counterinsurgency capability, conducting counterinsurgency operations and establishing population security.
- (4) Performance of the respective intelligence agencies in cooperating with the United States on counterinsurgency and

counterterrorism operations and in purging themselves of policies, programs and personnel that provide material support to extremist networks that target U.S. troops or undermine U.S. objectives in the region.

(5) Ability of the Afghan and Pakistani governments to effectively control the territory within their respective borders.

In addition, I am an original cosponsor of the McGovern bill that simply states, "Not later than December 31, 2009, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report outlining the United States exit strategy for United States military forces in Afghanistan participating in Operation Enduring Freedom." I firmly believe that the United States is best served by outlining a clear exit strategy that the American public can support and that the Afghani public can be reassured that we have no long-term desire to occupy their nation.

Unfortunately, President Bush's disregard for the complexities of Afghanistan and the damage that came from his disregard may make this war unwinnable. We also must not forget that the Soviet military, with over a hundred thousand troops on the ground, lost decisively in Afghanistan. Today, our troops are fighting some of the very same warlords who defeated the Soviets with our covert support.

As you may know, Secretary of Defense Bill Gates, removed the commanding general of Afghanistan in a bid to change the-on-the ground strategy in Afghanistan. With a new White House strategy, a new commanding general, and 21,000 additional troops, I believe this is now President Obama's war.

The bill also funds the continued presence of our troops in Iraq. Despite the continued bursts of violence in Iraq, I am thankful the President has committed to a responsible redeployment of troops out of Iraq. This bill recognizes and supports President Obama's plan to withdraw all U.S. combat brigades from Iraq by August 31, 2010 and all U.S. military forces by December 31, 2011. The bill continues to prohibit the construction of any base for the permanent stationing of U.S. forces in Iraq and U.S. control over any oil resource of Iraq. To ensure accountability, the bill directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to Congress every 90 days that includes:

- 1. How the Government of Iraq is assuming responsibility for reconciliation initiatives;
- 2. How the drawdown of military forces complies with the President's timeline; and
- 3. The roles and responsibilities of remaining contractors in Iraq as the U.S. mission evolves.

The bill does some very good things besides funding wars for Afghanistan and Iraq. I am very supportive of the \$534 million for additional pay for more than 170,000 troops who have had their enlistments involuntarily extended since Sept. 11, 2001. These funds allow for payments of \$500 per month for every month a soldier was held on active duty under "stop-loss" orders. The average payment should be above \$4,000. Stop loss orders were used by the Bush Administration to avoid tough decisions on deployment and troop increases, creating a de facto draft for current soldiers. These payments are a good step to honor the sacrifice unfairly asked of these brave men and women.

I also support some of the foreign aid in the bill. The \$660 million for bilateral economic, humanitarian, and security assistance for the West Bank and Gaza represents an important

commitment to the Middle East peace process. In addition, the bill includes \$889 million for United Nations peacekeeping operations, including an expanded mission in the Democratic Republic of the Congo and a new mission in Chad and the Central African Republic. Finally, the bill includes \$700 million for international food assistance to alleviate suffering during the global economic crisis.

Finally, I was pleased that the conference agreement provides \$7.7 billion for efforts to address a potential pandemic flu. The total includes \$1.5 billion for the Health and Human Services Department and the Center for Disease Control to supplement federal stockpiles, develop and purchase vaccines, and to expand detection efforts, and \$5.8 billion in contingent emergency funds. Of the \$1.5 billion, \$350 million was set aside to assist state and local governments in preparing for and responding to a pandemic.

Unfortunately, the conference agreement included a \$108 billion loan to the International Monetary Fund (IMF). I objected to this loan because Congress should not be in the business of bailing out Central and Eastern European nations that lost money speculating in highly deregulated financial markets and now are indebted to European banks.

The \$108 billion loan to the IMF is an unreasonable and irresponsible burden to place on the backs of American taxpayers. They're already paying through the nose for the \$700 billion blank check passed by Congress for 'too big to fail' banks. For the record, I voted against the bank bailout. And, now, Congress is returning to the American taxpayers hat in hand for a rescue package to bailout European banks.

The fact that we continue to run annual deficits means the Federal Reserve will print the \$108 billion or borrow it from China. In other words, the U.S. will borrow funds from China to, lend to the International Monetary Fund, which will lend to a Central or Eastern European country to help rescue a foreign bank caught in the credit bubble.

We should be focusing on economic recovery at home rather than loaning billions of dollars to the IMF to rescue troubled European banks. I vehemently oppose the inclusion of the \$108 billion for the IMF in the War Supplemental bill. Had this provision been voted on separately—as it should have—I would have voted against this provision.

Reluctantly, I voted in favor of this bill because it reversed the Bush Administration's irresponsible approach to the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan. I will continue to watchdog the IMF and look for opportunities to rein in their misguided attempt at restructuring poorer nation's economies.

Mrs. LOWEY. Mr. Speaker, I rise in stroll support of H.R. 2346, the FY09 Emergency Supplemental Conference Report. This legislation provides the resources our military, diplomatic, and development personnel need to make our nation more secure.

The Obama administration's policy to defeat the Taliban and al Qaeda in Afghanistan and Pakistan is critical to prevent the region from being a base for terrorist plots against the United States and our allies. H.R. 2346 provides \$3.8 billion for economic security initiatives in the region and funds our diplomatic and development personnel and their security.

I welcome the administration's efforts to forge a lasting peace between Israel and the

Palestinian Authority. This legislation provides economic, humanitarian, and security assistance to the West Bank and Gaza to encourage stability and political moderation. It ensures that Hamas and other terrorist organizations do not receive taxpayer funds and conditions funds for a potential Palestinian unity government on all its ministers publicly recognizing Israel's right to exist, renouncing violence, and adhering to past agreements.

The conference agreement provides \$420 million to help Mexico fight violent narcotraffickers with surveillance aircraft, helicopters, and law enforcement equipment, and to support rule of law programs, bringing to \$1.12 billion the total appropriated in 2008 and 2009 for these purposes.

The bill exceeds the President's request for assistance programs and diplomatic operations in Iraq to ensure a smooth transition from the military mission to a civilian-led effort.

The bill includes \$5 billion to provide the IMF with the resources necessary to respond to the global economic crisis. This funding is a central component of a comprehensive economic strategy to protect American families and jobs.

In addition, the bill addresses significant humanitarian and development priorities by providing \$225 million to address the growing displacement of civilians in Pakistan and to help refugees in other countries; \$836.9 million for peacekeeping; \$256 million for countries impacted by the global financial crisis, including Haiti and Liberia; and the House-passed level of \$100 million for the Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria.

I urge my colleagues to support this legislation.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the Conference Report to H.R. 2346, the Supplemental Appropriations Act of 2009.

The agreement reached by the House and Senate negotiators provides our troops needed equipment on the battlefield and adequate pay for their service through the remainder of the 2009 fiscal year, compensation of \$500 for every month they were forced to remain on active-duty for longer than planned since 2001, funding to fulfill President Obama's promise to end the Iraq War, support for refocusing our military and civilian operations in Afghanistan, and assistance for new counterterrorism, economic, and diplomatic initiatives in Pakistan.

In addition, this legislation contains muchneeded funding to respond to urgent humanitarian crises involving refugees and internally displaced persons (IDPs). While I thank the Committee for including this assistance, I am hopeful such funding is just a sign on more to come. I am especially hopeful the U.S. will continue to respond to the dire needs to Iraqi refugees and IDPs, the largest refugee crisis since Palestinian Diaspora of 1948.

I would also like to thank Chairman OBEY for providing \$1 billion for the program authorized by the Consumer Assistance to Recycle and Save Act (also known as "cash-forclunkers.") I was pleased to cosponsor and help craft the cash-for-clunkers legislation which will result in meaningful reductions in vehicle fleet carbon emissions and fuel consumption, while providing much-needed stimulus for our ailing automakers and economy.

Finally, as a long-time supporter of the Department of Health and Human Services and front-line public health agencies such as the

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, I am pleased that the Supplemental Appropriations Act includes \$1.5 billion for these Federal programs, along with an additional \$5.8 billion in contingent emergency appropriations for priority efforts to respond to the pandemic flu. Further, it provides \$350 million to assist State and local governments, who play an important role in protecting the public, in preparing for and responding to a pandemic.

After the recent outbreak of H1N1, which has been confirmed in 75 countries, it became apparent that the United States must work swiftly to ensure our readiness. The funding provided in the bill will allow the United States to take important steps forward in protecting Americans from a dangerous outbreak, including the expansion of detection efforts, shoring up Federal stockpiles, and securing sufficient vaccinations.

I thank Chairman OBEY, the Appropriations Committee, and the conference negotiators for including these provisions, and I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting the Conference Report to H.R. 2346.

Ms. HARMAN. Mr. Speaker, we live in difficult times. Families continue to struggle to make ends meet. Two major American auto companies have crumbled before our eyes. And the US faces threats from groups and individuals across the globe who endeavor to do us harm.

Today, the bill before us—hopefully the last war supplemental funding measure of its kind—attempts to tackle at least one of these looming problems.

It finances the targeted strategy President Obama has crafted to minimize security threats to the United States and stabilize one of the most volatile regions of the world. The Supplemental's provisions on Afghanistan and Pakistan focus on preventing them from becoming failed states and safe havens for terrorists.

It is also a needed course-correction from the Bush Administration's policies in Iraq, Afghanistan and Pakistan—one that makes ending corruption and improving governance, not projection of military force, the top priority.

The President has asked me—personally—to support this measure.

And I will support it for three reasons. First, this bill funds a very clear strategy in Afghanistan and limits the military mission there. Second, it provides the means to end the combat mission in Iraq and requires the Secretary of Defense to report on troop drawdown status there

Third, there is no funding for US troops to Pakistan—only non-military aid and counter-insurgency training to enable Pakistani forces to defeat the ominous Taliban threat inside their borders. It rightly focuses on programs that can and should succeed, rather than openended engagements that lack achievable goals.

Governance is the key—providing the Afghan and Pakistani people an alternative to the false promise of safety and security offered by insurgent groups who are in fact terrorizing local populations. Earning the trust of the people of those countries is crucial—reforming the police, cleaning up the court systems and targeting corruption are necessary to restore confidence.

One of the most important provisions contained in this bill is the requirement that the President submit a report to Congress within

the next year assessing the success of the Af/ Pak policy—the extent to which the Afghan and Pakistan governments have supported counterinfurgency operations and governance reforms, and the ways in which they effectively governing the shared border region.

The oversight measures contained in this bill will ensure that the mission is focused and that our goals are met. Investments are specific and intended to funs a finite objective.

But this measure funds more than our engagements abroad. It provides \$7.7 billion for H1N1 pandemic flu preparedness and response efforts-most of which will be used to expand our ability to detect the virus and supplement vaccine stockpiles. While this pandemic has not been as extreme as initially expected, many scientists fear that H1N1 could recur—in a stronger form—next year. This is a strategic investment in the federal government's contingency planning efforts.

Finally, the legislation honors America's wounded warriors, providing funds for health

and rehabilitation programs.

I have long opposed conducting US military operations "off the books." President Obama is committed to ending this practice, which I believe is necessary to making sure our missions are effective and Americans can understand the real trade-offs involved.

Statement on Conference Report of HR 2346, FY 2009 War Supplemental Appropriations 15 June 2009

Mr. PAUL. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong opposition to this conference report on the War Supplemental Appropriations. I wonder what happened to all of my colleagues who said they were opposed to the ongoing wars in Iraq and Afghanistan. I wonder what happened to my colleagues who voted with me as I opposed every war supplemental request under the previous administration. It seems, with very few exceptions, they have changed their position on the war now that the White House has changed hands. I find this troubling. As I have said while opposing previous war funding requests, a vote to fund the war is a vote in favor of the war. Congress exercises its constitutional prerogatives through the power of the purse.

This conference report, being a Washingtonstyle compromise, reflects one thing Congress agrees on: spending money we do not have. So this "compromise" bill spends 15 percent more than the president requested, which is \$9 billion more than in the original House bill and \$14.6 billion more than the original Senate version. Included in this final version—in addition to the \$106 billion to continue the wars in Afghanistan and Iraq-is a \$108 billion loan guarantee to the International Monetary Fund, allowing that destructive organization to continue spending taxpayer money to prop up corrupt elites and promote harmful economic policies overseas.

As Americans struggle through the worst economic downturn since the Great Depression, this emergency supplemental appropriations bill sends billions of dollars overseas as foreign aid. Included in this appropriation is \$660 million for Gaza, \$555 million for Israel, \$310 million for Egypt, \$300 million for Jordan, and \$420 million for Mexico. Some \$889 million will be sent to the United Nations for "peacekeeping" missions. Almost one billion dollars will be sent overseas to address the global financial crisis outside our borders and nearly \$8 billion will be spent to address a "potential pandemic flu."

Mr. Speaker, I continue to believe that the best way to support our troops is to bring them home from Iraq and Afghanistan. If one looks at the original authorization for the use of force in Afghanistan, it is clear that the ongoing and expanding nation-building mission there has nothing to do with our goal of capturing and bringing to justice those who attacked the United States on September 11. 2001. Our continued presence in Iraq and Afghanistan does not make us more safe at home, but in fact it undermines our national security. I urge my colleagues to defeat this reckless conference report.

Ms. LEE of California. Mr. Speaker, I opposed the 2001 resolution authorizing the use of force because I believed it gave President Bush and any future President a blank check to wage war anywhere on the globe, starting in Afghanistan.

Unfortunately, we will be unable to avoid such ill-fated actions in the future until we repeal the 2001 authorization.

Today, nearly eight years later, I oppose the supplemental appropriations bill for the wars in Afghanistan and Iraq because it continues us down the path of open-ended military escalation that can lead to war without end.

I oppose this \$94 billion supplemental because:

It favors military activities over diplomatic, development, and reconstruction efforts by a ratio of 8 to 1;

It does not include an exit plan for Afghani-

It does not require the fully funded redeployment of troops and military contractors out of Iraq within 12 months; and

It does not include the strong regional approach the situation demands including a strong nuclear non-proliferation effort in Pakistan.

Madam Speaker, it is time we maximize our nation's "smart power" by increasing our use of diplomatic, development, and reconstruction activities.

Unfortunately, the supplemental appropriations bill does not reflect a fundamental shift in direction.

Therefore, I cannot support it.

Mr. PIERLUISI. Mr. Speaker, I rise on behalf of myself and my four colleagues from the U.S. territories to express our concern with Section 14103 of the Conference Report on H.R. 2346, the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2009, relative to a funding prohibition on the release or transfer of individuals currently detained at U.S. Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.

Today, my colleagues and I have written a letter to President Barack H. Obama to convey this concern. I submit the text of our letter for print and inclusion in the official RECORD.

Washington, DC., June 16, 2009. President BARACK H. OBAMA,

The White House,

Washington DC.

DEAR MR. PRESIDENT: We write to respectfully request that your Administration not release or transfer any individual who is currently detained at U.S. Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba to any territory of the United States.

The Supplemental Appropriations Act (H.R. 2346), which is expected to be approved by Congress later this week, prohibits the use of funds made available in the Act to release or transfer any individual detained at Guantanamo Bay to the 50 states or the District of Columbia. However, the Act technically does not prohibit the use of funds to release or transfer such individuals to any of the U.S. territories.

Although we have no reason to believe that your Administration intends to release or transfer any detainees to the U.S. territories, we write to express our concern about any decision in this context that may treat the territories differently than the 50 states or the District of Columbia. The safety of the U.S. citizens and nationals residing in the territories is no less important than the safety of their fellow Americans residing in the 50 states. We are certain that your Administration fully subscribes to this view and, therefore, that you will treat the territories the same as the 50 states and the District of Columbia with respect to the release or transfer of individuals detained at U.S. Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.

We thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely.

Pedro R. Pierluisi. Madeleine Z. Bordallo.

Donna M. Christensen.

Eni F.H. Faleomavaega.

Gregorio Kilili Camacho Sablan.

Mr. ROE of Tennessee. Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to this bill.

I wanted to come down to the House floor to let the troops know I support them and how much I appreciate the work they're doing around the world. I have been to Afghanistan and to Guantanamo Bay this year to see the work they're doing, and it is tremendous. We should all be proud of their effort.

Unfortunately, today's vote misuses critical funding for our troops to push through billions in foreign spending. People in east Tennessee question why we're giving \$5 billion and over \$100 billion in loan guarantees to the International Monetary Fund to bail out other countries when we have so many needs right here at home.

Additionally, because this legislation designates everything as "emergency" spending, this spending is not offset and breaks the already-inflated spending caps. The way I see it, the only emergency I see is that a month has passed and the Democrats haven't added a few billion to our already record deficit in new

I urge members to defeat this bill and force the Democratic Leadership to bring us back a clean supplemental that supports the troops.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the conference report.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the conference report.

Pursuant to clause 10 of rule XX, the yeas and nays are ordered.

Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, this 15-minute vote on adoption of the conference report will be followed by a 5minute vote on the motion to suspend the rules on House Resolution 366.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 226, nays 202, not voting 6, as follows:

> [Roll No. 348] YEAS-226

Abercrombie Arcuri Becerra. Ackerman Berman Baca Adler (NJ) Baird Berry Altmire Bishop (GA) Barrow Bean Bishop (NY) Andrews

June 10, 20	109
Blumenauer	Himes
Boccieri	Hinchey
Boren	Hinojosa
Boswell	Hirono
Boucher	Hodes
Boyd Brady (PA)	Holden Holt
Braley (IA)	Hoyer
Bright	Inslee
Brown, Corrine	Israel
Butterfield	Jackson (IL)
Cao	Jackson-Lee
Capps	(TX)
Cardoza	Johnson (GA)
Carnahan Carney	Johnson, E. B. Kagen
Carson (IN)	Kanjorski
Castor (FL)	Kildee
Chandler	Kilpatrick (MI)
Childers	Kilroy
Clarke	Kind
Clay	King (NY)
Cleaver	Kirk
Clyburn Cohen	Kirkpatrick (AZ) Kissell
Connolly (VA)	Klein (FL)
Cooper	Kosmas
Costa	Kratovil
Costello	Langevin
Courtney	Larsen (WA)
Crowley	Larson (CT)
Cuellar Cummings	Levin
Dahlkemper	Lipinski Loebsack
Davis (AL)	Lowey
Davis (CA)	Luján
Davis (IL)	Lynch
Davis (TN)	Maffei
DeFazio	Maloney
DeGette	Markey (CO) Markey (MA)
Delahunt DeLauro	Markey (MA) Marshall
Dicks	Matheson
Dingell	Matsui
Donnelly (IN)	McCarthy (NY)
Doyle	McCollum
Driehaus	McDermott
Edwards (TX)	McHugh
Ellsworth	McIntyre McMahon
Engel Eshoo	McMahon McNerney
Etheridge	Meek (FL)
Fattah	Meeks (NY)
Foster	Melancon
Frank (MA)	Miller (MI)
Fudge	Miller (NC)
Giffords	Miller, George
Gonzalez Gordon (TN)	Minnick Mitchell
Green, Al	Mollohan
Green, Gene	Moore (KS)
Griffith	Moore (WI)
Gutierrez	Moran (VA)
Hall (NY)	Murphy (CT)
Halvorson	Murphy (NY)
Hare Harman	Murphy, Patrick Murtha
Harman Hastings (FL)	Nadler (NY)
Heinrich	Napolitano
Herseth Sandlin	Neal (MA)
Higgins	Nye
Hill	Oberstar

Obey Himes Hinchev Olver Hinojosa Ortiz Hirono Pallone Hodes Pascrell Holden Pastor (AZ) Holt. Pelosi Hoyer Perlmutter Inslee Perriello Israel Peters Jackson (IL) Peterson Jackson-Lee Pomeroy (TX) Price (NC) Johnson (GA) Quigley Johnson, E. B. Rahall Kagen Rangel Kanjorski Reyes Richardson Kildee Kilpatrick (MI) Rodriguez Kilroy Ross Kind Rothman (NJ) King (NY) Roybal-Allard Ruppersberger Kirkpatrick (AZ) Rush Kissell Ryan (OH) Klein (FL) Salazar Kosmas Sánchez, Linda Kratovil Langevin Т. Larsen (WA) Larson (CT) Sanchez, Loretta Sarbanes Levin Schakowsky Lipinski Schauer Loebsack Schiff Lowey Schrader Luján Schwartz Lynch Scott (GA) Maffei Scott (VA) Maloney Sestak Markey (CO) Shuler Markey (MA) Sires Marshall Skelton Matheson Slaughter Matsui Smith (WA) McCarthy (NY) Snyder McCollum Space McDermott Spratt McHugh Stupak McIntyre Sutton McMahon Tanner McNerney Tauscher Meek (FL) Taylor Meeks (NY) Teague Melancon Thompson (CA) Miller (MI) Thompson (MS) Miller (NC) Titus Miller, George Tonko Minnick Towns Mitchell Van Hollen Mollohan Velázquez Moore (KS) Visclosky Moore (WI) Moran (VA) Walz. Wasserman Murphy (CT)

NAYS-202

Schultz

Wilson (OH)

Watt

Waxman

Weiner

Wexler

Yarmuth

Wu

Buchanan Diaz-Balart, M. Aderholt Doggett Akin Burgess Austria Burton (IN) Dreier Bachmann Buver Duncan Calvert Edwards (MD) Bachus Baldwin Camp Ehlers Barrett (SC) Campbell Ellison Bartlett Cantor Emerson Barton (TX) Capito Fallin Biggert Capuano Farr Bilbray Filner Carter Bilirakis Cassidy Flake Bishop (UT) Castle Fleming Blackburn Chaffetz Forbes Blunt Coble Fortenberry Coffman (CO) Boehner Foxx Franks (AZ) Bonner Cole Bono Mack Conaway Frelinghuysen Boozman Convers Gallegly Boustany Crenshaw Garrett (NJ) Brady (TX) Culberson Gerlach Gingrey (GA) Broun (GA) Davis (KY) Deal (GA) Brown (SC) Gohmert Brown-Waite Goodlatte Dent. Diaz-Balart, L. Ginny Granger

Graves Massa. Royce Grayson McCarthy (CA) Ryan (WI) Grijalva McCaul Scalise Guthrie McClintock Schmidt Hall (TX) McCotter Schock Harper McGovern Sensenbrenner Hastings (WA) McHenry Serrano Heller McKeon Sessions Hensarling McMorris Shadegg Herger Rodgers Shea-Porter Hoekstra Mica Sherman Honda. Michaud Shimkus Miller (FL) Hunter Shuster Inglis Miller, Gary Simpson Issa Moran (KS) Jenkins Smith (NE) Murphy, Tim Johnson (IL) Smith (NJ) Johnson, Sam Neugebauer Smith (TX) Souder Nunes Jones Jordan (OH) Olson Speier Kaptur Paul Stark King (IA) Paulsen Stearns Kingston Payne Terry Kline (MN) Pence Thompson (PA) Kucinich Petri Thornberry Lamborn Pingree (ME) Tiahrt Lance Pitts Tiberi Latham Platts Tierney LaTourette Poe (TX) Tsongas Latta Polis (CO) Turner Lee (CA) Posey Upton Price (GA) Lee (NY) Walden Lewis (CA) Putnam Wamp Radanovich Linder Waters LoBiondo Rehberg Watson Lofgren, Zoe Reichert Welch Lucas Roe (TN) Westmoreland Luetkemeyer Rogers (AL) Rogers (KY) Whitfield Lummis Wilson (SC) Lungren, Daniel Rogers (MI) Wittman E. Rohrabacher Mack Roonev Ros-Lehtinen Woolsev Manzullo Young (AK) Marchant Roskam

NOT VOTING-

Alexander Kennedy Sullivan Berkley Lewis (GA) Young (FL)

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE The SPEAKER pro tempore (during

the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining in this vote.

\Box 1827

Mr. ROGERS of Michigan changed his vote from "yea" to "nay."

So the conference report was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

RECOGNIZING 40TH ANNIVERSARY OF NATIONAL EYE INSTITUTE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and agree to the resolution, H. Res. 366, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the resolu-

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentlewoman from Wisconsin (Ms. BALDWIN) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the resolution, H. Res 366

This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 411, nays 0, not voting 22, as follows:

[Roll No. 349]

YEAS-411

Aderholt Abercrombie Akin Altmire Adler (NJ) Ackerman

Bachmann Bachus Baird Baldwin Barrett (SC) Barrow Bartlett Bean Becerra Berry Biggert Bilbray Bilirakis Bishop (GA) Bishop (NY) Bishop (UT) Blackburn Blumenauer Blunt Boccieri Boehner Bonner Bono Mack Boozman Boren Boswell Boucher Boustany Boyd Brady (PA) Brady (TX) Braley (IA) Bright Broun (GA) Brown (SC) Brown, Corrine Brown-Waite, Ginny Buchanan Burgess Burton (IN) Butterfield Buyer Calvert Camp Campbell Cantor Cao Capito Capps Capuano Cardoza Carnahan Carney Carson (IN) Cassidy Castle Castor (FL) Chaffetz Chandler Childers Clarke Clav Cleaver Clyburn Coble Coffman (CO) Cohen Cole Conaway Connolly (VA) Conyers Cooper Costa Costello Courtney Crenshaw Crowley Cuellar Culberson Cummings Dahlkemper Davis (AL) Davis (CA) Davis (IL) Davis (KY) Davis (TN Deal (GA) DeFazio DeGette Delahunt DeLauro Dent Diaz-Balart, L. Diaz-Balart, M.

Andrews

Austria

Arcuri

Baca.

Doggett Donnelly (IN) Dovle Dreier Driehaus Duncan Edwards (MD) Ehlers Ellison Ellsworth Emerson Engel Eshoo Etheridge Fallin Farr Fattah Filner Flake Fleming Forbes Fortenberry Foster E. Foxx Franks (AZ) Frelinghuysen Fudge Gallegly Garrett (NJ) Gerlach Giffords Gingrey (GA) Gohmert Gonzalez Goodlatte Gordon (TN) Granger Graves Grayson Green, Al Green Gene Griffith Guthrie Gutierrez Hall (NY) Halvorson Hare Harper Hastings (FL) Hastings (WA) Heinrich Heller Hensarling Herger Herseth Sandlin Higgins Himes Hinchey Hinojosa Hirono Hodes Hoekstra Holden Holt Hoyer Hunter Inglis Inslee Israel Issa Jackson (IL) Jackson-Lee (TX)Jenkins Johnson (GA) Nve Johnson (IL) Johnson, E. B. Johnson, Sam Jones Jordan (OH) Kanjorski Kaptur Kildee Kilpatrick (MI) Paul Kilroy Kind King (IA) King (NY) Kingston Kirkpatrick (AZ) Kissell Pingree (ME) Klein (FL) Kline (MN) Pitts Platts Kosmas

Dicks Kratovil Dingell Kucinich Lamborn Lance Langevin Larsen (WA) Latham LaTourette Latta Lee (CA) Lee (NY) Levin Lewis (CA) Linder Lipinski LoBiondo Loebsack Lofgren, Zoe Lowey Lucas Luetkemeyer Luián Lummis Lungren, Daniel Lynch Mack Maffei Manzullo Marchant Markey (CO) Markey (MA) Marshall Massa Matheson Matsui McCarthy (CA) McCarthy (NY) McCaul McClintock McCollum McCotterMcDermott McGovern McHugh McIntvre McKeon McMahon McMorris Rodgers McNerney Meek (FL) Meeks (NY) Melancon Mica. Michaud Miller (FL) Miller (MI) Miller (NC) Miller, Gary Miller George Minnick Mitchell Mollohan Moore (KS) Moore (WI) Moran (KS) Moran (VA) Murphy (CT) Murphy (NY) Murphy, Patrick Murphy, Tim Murtha Myrick Nadler (NY) Napolitano Neugebauer Nunes Oberstar Obey Olson Olver Ortiz Pallone Pascrell Pastor (AZ) Paulsen Payne Pence Perlmutter Perriello Peters Peterson Petri